PROCEEDINGS AT HEARING OF FEBRUARY 24, 2021

COMMISSIONER AUSTIN F. CULLEN

INDEX OF PROCEEDINGS		
Witness	Description	Page
	Proceedings commenced at 9:30 a.m.	1
Jay Chaudhary (for the commission)	Examination by Ms. Patel	1
(Ior the commission)	Proceedings adjourned at 9:33 a.m.	3
	Proceedings reconvened at 9:51 a.m.	3
Jay Chaudhary (for the commission)	Examination by Ms. Patel (continuing)	4
	Proceedings adjourned at 11:31 a.m.	74
	Proceedings reconvened at 11:46 a.m.	74
Jay Chaudhary	Examination by Ms. Patel (continuing)	74
(for the commission)	Examination by Mr. Chewka	108
	Examination by Mr. Usher	114
	Proceedings adjourned at 12:43 p.m. to February 25, 2021	116
INDEX OF EXHIBITS FOR IDENTIFICATION		
Letter Description	0 n	Page

No exhibits for identification marked.

INDEX OF EXHIBITS			
No.	Description	Page	
653	Suspension Order in the matter of the Mortgage Brokers Act and Jay Kanth Chaudhary - October 16, 2008	17	
654	Investigation report on client files of Jay Chaudhary	50	
655	Cease and Desist Order in the matter of the <i>Mortgage Brokers Act</i> and Jay Kanth Chaudhary - May 23, 2019 (i)	92	

Jay Chaudhary (for the commission) 1 Exam by Ms. Patel 1 February 24, 2021 2 (Via Videoconference) 3 (PROCEEDINGS COMMENCED AT 9:30 A.M.) 4 THE REGISTRAR: Good morning. The hearing is now resumed. Mr. Commissioner. 5 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Madam Registrar. Yes, 6 7 Ms. Patel. 8 MS. PATEL: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. Today our witness -- we have one witness, a former 9 10 mortgage broker, Mr. Jay Chaudhary. He's here 11 and, as I understand, has chosen to affirm. 12 JAY CHAUDHARY, a witness 13 for the commission, 14 affirmed. 15 THE REGISTRAR: Please state your full name and spell your first and last name for the record. 16 17 THE WITNESS: Jay Kanth Chaudhary. Jay, J-a-y, last 18 name C-h-a-u-d-h-a-r-y. 19 THE REGISTRAR: Thank you. 20 THE WITNESS: You're welcome. 21 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, Ms. Patel. EXAMINATION BY MS. PATEL: 22 23 Q Mr. Chaudhary, first -- sorry. 24 MS. PATEL: Madam Registrar, I think we're having 25 some feedback issues with the audio. Is that

1 just on my end or -- I wonder if we can address 2 that. 3 THE TECHNICIAN: That is correct. It's on your end. 4 MS. PATEL: Oh, okay. That's good. 5 First of all -- Mr. Chaudhary, I first wanted to Q 6 confirm that you are here pursuant to a summons 7 issued by the commission; is that right? 8 А That's correct. And I note that you're appearing remotely from 9 Q different locations today but also in attendance 10 is your counsel, Mr. Whysall. 11 12 That's correct. Α 13 I'd like to start by asking questions about your Q 14 professional background. What is your highest level of education? 15 16 I have a diploma from college. А 17 Okay. From which college? Q 18 From Douglas College. А 19 Okay. And what was your diploma in? Q 20 In advanced accounting. А 21 Are you currently employed or engaged in a Q business? 22 23 А No, I am not. 24 You said that you have a diploma in accounting. Q 25 Have you been employed at times as --

1 А As an accountant? 2 MS. PATEL: Yeah. Just -- pardon me, Mr. Chaudhary. 3 Just a moment. I'm getting a message from our 4 IT person that there's an echo. 5 Mr. Commissioner, I'm going for ask if we could please take a two- or three-minute recess 6 7 to address the problem. 8 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, we'll do that, Ms. Patel. 9 We'll take whatever time we need to address it 10 but hopefully it will be two to three minutes. 11 Thank you. 12 MS. PATEL: Thank you. THE REGISTRAR: The hearing is stood down until 13 14 9:35 a.m. Please mute your mic and turn off 15 your video. Thank you. 16 (WITNESS STOOD DOWN) 17 (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 9:33 A.M.) (PROCEEDINGS RECONVENED AT 9:51 A.M.) 18 19 THE REGISTRAR: Thank you for waiting. The hearing 20 is resumed. Mr. Commissioner. 21 JAY CHAUDHARY, a witness 22 for the commission, 23 recalled. 24 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. Thank you, Madam Registrar. 25 Yes, Ms. Patel. I think the difficulties

Jay Chaudhary (for the commission) 4 Exam by Ms. Patel have been ironed out by our technical 1 2 coordinator along with Mr. Chaudhary. Thank 3 you. 4 MS. PATEL: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. 5 EXAMINATION BY MS. PATEL (continuing): Mr. Chaudhary, before we broke I was asking you 6 Q 7 if you had ever been employed as an accountant. 8 А Yes, I have. Okay. And for how long? 9 Q 10 I would say for a period of ten years. А Were you a certified -- a CPA or a CGA? 11 Q 12 No, I wasn't. А 13 I also understand that you have been licensed as Q 14 a real estate agent in British Columbia; is that 15 correct? 16 That is correct. А 17 Do you recall the period that you were licensed Q from? 18 19 I believe it was the beginning of January of А 20 2008 until end -- until probably October of 21 2008. 22 Okay. And did you work through a brokerage? Q 23 А Yes, I did. 24 What was that brokerage? Q 25 It's Century 21 Apex International in Surrey. А

	-	
1	Q	And did you in fact conduct transactions as a
2		real estate licensee?
3	A	As far as I recall, I don't think so there
4		was any, but probably there might have been one.
5		But as far as I recall, probably none.
6	Q	Okay. And during that same time that you were
7		licensed as a real estate agent you were also
8		registered as a mortgage broker in
9		British Columbia; is that correct?
10	A	That is correct.
11	Q	Okay. And do you recall the dates that you were
12		registered?
13	A	I don't recall the specific dates but it was
14		from 2007 to 2008. Probably early 2007 to late
15		2008.
16	Q	And do you recall what brokerages you were
17		registered with?
18	A	I started off with Global Mortgage. It was also
19		based out of Surrey. And then later joined
20		Finder Financial, office in Vancouver.
21	Q	How was that you came to the mortgage brokering
22		business?
23	A	It was a recommendation from one of my clients.
24		I had in early 2000 probably around 2003,
25		2004 I had an accounting firm in Surrey doing

1		bookkeeping, accounting and taxation work. And
2		one of the clients referred encouraged me to
3		become a mortgage broker. That's how I got my
4		first introduction.
5	Q	You said you were running an accounting business
6		for about ten years. Do you recall what that
7		period was?
8	А	Yeah. It was not I was an employee for
9		ten years, but my accounting business was
10		probably for about six, seven years that I ran -
11		I had a small office in Surrey, and I was
12		running my accounting business from there.
13	Q	So for six or seven years you ran your own
14		business?
15	А	That's right.
16	Q	And then did you cease running that business
17		when you became a registered mortgage broker?
18	А	That is correct.
19	Q	Now, do you recall that in October of 2008 your
20		registration as a mortgage broker was suspended
21		by the Registrar of Mortgage Brokers?
22	A	That's correct.
23	MS.	PATEL: Madam Registrar, I'm going to ask if you
24		could please pull up a document. RMB302.
25	Q	Mr. Chaudhary, do you recognize this document?

1	A	Yes, I do.
2	Q	And do you can you tell us what it is.
3	A	It's a suspension order to for my licence,
4		for my mortgage brokers licence.
5	MS.	PATEL: Okay. And I'm just going to ask Madam
6		Registrar if she could scroll to the last page.
7	Q	And I just wanted to note the date there at the
8		bottom. There's a signature line and there's a
9		date that says:
10		"Dated at the City of Surrey, Province of
11		British Columbia, this 16th day of
12		October, 2008."
13		Do you see that?
14	А	Yes, I do.
15	Q	Okay. And does that accord with your
16		recollection of when you were suspended?
17	A	That is correct.
18	MS.	PATEL: Okay. And Madam Registrar, if you could
19		just scroll up again please to page 13.
20	Q	Okay. And there's a recitation of facts earlier
21		in this order, but I just wanted to draw your
22		attention first to the first paragraph that
23		starts with capital letters "I am therefore of
24		the opinion." Do you see that?
25	A	Yes, I do.

1	Q	Okay. I just want to I'm going to read this
2		to you and to see if this accords with your
3		recollection.
4		"I am therefore of the opinion that
5		Chaudhary has conducted business in breach
6		of the Act and in a manner that is
7		prejudicial to the public interest by
8		failing to conduct any due diligence or
9		'know your client' procedures and/or by
10		knowingly submitting false information to
11		lenders for them to act upon as if it were
12		genuine."
13		And that's the part of the order that sets out
14		the reason for the suspension. Do you agree
15		with that?
16	A	I do.
17	MS.	PATEL: Okay. Now, if we could go back up to
18		page 1, please, Madam Registrar.
19	Q	At page 1, paragraph 4 of the suspension order
20		this gives a little bit of context on as to
21		how this matter came to the attention of the
22		Registrar. And I see do you see there
23		paragraph 4 it says that:
24		"On June 16, 2008, office of the Registrar
25		of Mortgage Brokers received a

1 telephone complaint from an anonymous 2 individual alleging that her colleague, 3 Chaudhary, was involved in fraudulent real 4 estate and mortgage transactions which 5 included provision of false Canada Revenue 6 Agency ... documents, such as Notices of 7 Assessment ... T4s and T1 General forms." 8 Do you see that? 9 А Yes, I do. 10 Okay. And the next paragraph, paragraph 5 goes Q on to state that the following day, June 17th, 11 12 2008: 13 "... staff of the Registrar ... received 14 an email from Pierre McConnell, Senior 15 Investigator at TD Canada Trust, advising 16 that he had received information from an 17 unidentified source who stated that 18 Chaudhary was committing fraud by paying 19 bank employees secret cash in exchange for 20 deals." 21 Do you see that? 22 Yes, I do. А 23 Q Okay. And you recall that those were -- that 24 was the basis of this suspension order against 25 you? Or the basis of it.

1	А	I didn't well, that's the basis, yes. I
2		didn't agree to that statement, but yes, that
3		was the basis.

- Q Okay. The order sets out a number of issues
 identified by the Registrar of Mortgage Broker
 investigators?
- MS. PATEL: And, Madam Registrar, if you could just
 scroll down to page 2, paragraph 8. So just
 there that's fine. Thank you.
- 10 Q So do you see, Mr. Chaudhary, at paragraph 7
 11 where it says:
- "In reviewing the mortgage files, Staff
 identified inconsistencies and/or
 discrepancies in documents filed in
 support of many of the mortgage
 applications. Details of the issues

17 identified are set out below."

18 You see that?

19 A Yes, I do.

20 Q Okay. And then the order goes on to set out a 21 number of the issues that were identified by the 22 Registrar of Mortgage Brokers in their 23 investigation. At paragraph 8 -- and I'm 24 just -- I'm not going to take you through all of 25 these. I think I'm going to walk through the

1 first two mortgages that are reviewed; okay? So there's a heading, and I may mispronounce 2 3 this, I'm going to say Jacy Bonikowsky mortgage. 4 It says that: 5 "In April 2008, Chaudhary submitted a 6 mortgage application to First National 7 Financial LP ... on behalf of Bonikowsky 8 which indicated that Bonikowsky had been a 9 self-employed, full-time, recorder for 10 Lost Souls Records for the past three years, earning \$95,000." 11 12 Now, first of all, do you recall -- and I know 13 this is quite some time ago now, but do you 14 recall this mortgage application? 15 No, I don't. А 16 Okay. Do you recall this client, Q 17 Mr. Bonikowsky? 18 I don't. А 19 Okay. I just want to note -- do you recall that Q 20 name Lost Soul Records at the bottom of 21 paragraph 8? 22 I don't recall that name. А 23 Q So you don't recall submitting an application 24 for Mr. Bonikowsky indicating his employer as 25 Lost Soul Records?

	naudhary by Ms. Pa	(for the commission) 12 atel
1	A	That is true.
2	Q	Okay.
3	A	It could have been I'm sorry, just sorry to
4		intervene. He could have been my client, but I
5		just don't recall the details.
6	Q	Okay. So you're not saying that this is not
7		true; you're saying you don't recall?
8	A	That's right. That's correct.
9	Q	At paragraph 9 the order goes on:
10		"In support of the mortgage application,
11		Chaudhary submitted copies of Bonikowsky's
12		2005 NOA"
13		And NOA I understand to be notice of assessment.
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	You understand that as well? Okay.
16		" (dated May 30, 2006), showing income
17		of \$77,446 and a 2006 NOA (dated April 26,
18		2007), showing income of \$87,772, as well
19		as Bonikowsky's personal bank statements
20		for a three-month period from
21		January-March 2008."
22		And I'll ask again if you recall making that
23		mortgage application.
24	А	I don't recall.
25	Q	Okay. Paragraph 10, the it goes on to say

1 that: 2 "Staff noted the following discrepancies: 3 Both [notices of assessment] appear on (a) 4 the letterhead of 'Canada Customs and 5 Revenue Agency.' The Canada Customs 6 and Revenue Agency changed its name to 7 'Canada Revenue Agency' on 8 December 12, 2005, which change ought 9 to have been reflected in the [notices of assessment] submitted." 10 11 And do you -- again I'll ask if you have any 12 recollection of that documentation being 13 submitted. 14 Not for this particular client. А 15 Q At paragraph 10(b): 16 "The bank statements did not confirm 17 steady employment income of 18 \$95,000/year. In fact, the deposits 19 made between January 2, 2008, and 20 March 31, 2008, total only \$7,650." And then for some reason the next paragraph is 21 22 (d): "There is no additional information in 23 24 the file confirming or supporting 25 stated income in the amount of

Jay Chaudhary (for the commission) 14 Exam by Ms. Patel \$95,000/year." 1 Madam Registrar, if you could just scroll 2 MS. PATEL: 3 down a little bit. Okay. And we can stop 4 there. 5 So I think I understand, Mr. Chaudhary, your Q 6 evidence is you don't recall that application, that mortgage application we just looked at? 7 8 А No, I don't. Okay. And similarly I'm just -- I'm going to 9 Q 10 look at the next transaction which was reviewed, which is here at page 3, paragraph 11. The 11 12 heading is "Atlin Alibeau." Do you recall 13 having a client by the name of Atlin Alibeau? 14 No, I don't. А 15 At paragraph 11 it states: Q 16 "In March 2008 Chaudhary submitted a 17 mortgage application to First National on behalf of Alibeau which indicated that 18 19 Alibeau had been self-employed as a 20 general construction worker for Al's 21 Construction for three years, one month, 22 earning \$74,189." 23 Do you recall making a mortgage application to 24 First National on behalf of Mr. Alibeau? 25 I cannot recall. А

1	Q	Do you have any so you don't say that it's
2		not the case you're not saying that you
3		didn't submit this application; you're simply
4		saying you don't recall?
5	A	That's right. I most likely did submit this
6		application because it was part of my files, but
7		I just do not recall the details of the
8		application.
9	Q	Do you also agree that you most likely submitted
10		the application that we referred to above in
11		respect of Mr. Bonikowsky?
12	A	That's true.
13	Q	And then at paragraph 13:
14		"In reviewing the mortgage file, Staff
15		noted the following."
16		It says at (a):
17		"Both the 2005 and 2006 [notices of
18		assessment] appear on the letterhead of
19		'Canada Customs and Revenue Agency.'"
20		And again the author notes:
21		"The Canada Customs and Revenue Agency
22		changed its name to 'Canada Revenue
23		Agency' on December 12, 2005, which change
24		ought to have been reflected in the
25		[notices of assessment] submitted.

(b):

2	"The mortgage application shows Alibeau's
3	address as being 1304 - 7351 Halifax
4	Street [in Burnaby] When Staff
5	attended that address on October 3, 2008,
6	they were advised by the building manager
7	that the 13th floor of the building is
8	generally referred to as the penthouse.
9	The building manager further advised that
10	he had been working at that address for
11	18 months and did not know anyone by the
12	name of Al or Atlin Alibeau."
13	And then (c):
14	"Al's Construction is not a business which
15	is listed in the Telus directory, nor is
16	it a company registered in British
17	Columbia."
18	Do you recall any of these aspects of this
19	particular mortgage application?
20	A No, I don't.
21	MS. PATEL: Madam Registrar, I would ask if we
22	could before we take this down, sorry
23	Mr. Commissioner, I'd ask if we could make this
24	the next exhibit, please.
25	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. Very well. That will be the

next exhibit, which I think is 653. 1 THE REGISTRAR: Yes, exhibit 653. 2 3 EXHIBIT 653: Suspension Order in the matter of 4 the Mortgage Brokers Act and Jay Kanth Chaudhary - October 16, 2008 5 MS. PATEL: Thank you. And, Madam Registrar, we can 6 7 take this down now. 8 Mr. Chaudhary, would you -- do you agree, even Q 9 though you may not recall the specifics of these 10 transactions, these mortgage applications set out in the suspension order, that they set out a 11 12 series of investigative findings that allege a 13 pattern of you having submitted mortgage 14 applications to lenders with critical details 15 such as income and bank balances, places of 16 employment and places of residence of the 17 borrowers having been altered? I do agree on the income and bank statements, 18 А 19 but I don't agree probably of places where they 20 lived or where -- for what mortgage they were qualifying for, the place for the mortgage they 21 22 were qualifying for. 23 Q And you're jumping ahead to my next question. 24 So I was just establishing what the order sets 25 out, but I take it that you're agreeing with the

1		findings set out here that you did submit
2		applications where details such as income and
3		bank balances were altered?
4 P	A	That is true.
5 Ç	2	Okay. And sorry, and just so I have it
6		clear. Do you agree that you submitted
7		applications with places of employment that were
8		altered or falsified?
9 A	A	I don't recall that. I don't think so agree
10		with that.
11 Ç	2	And when you submitted these applications, did
12		you were you aware that those details were
13		altered? The ones that
14 A	A	Yes, I am aware. Yes.
15 Q	2	And to be fair, you've said that you don't think
16		that you changed that you knew that places of
17		employment or places of residence were altered.
18		But did you know that details such as income and
19		bank balances were altered?
20 A	Ą	Yes, I do.
21 Q	2	And did you make those alterations?
22 A		Yes, I did.
	. 🔺	
23 Ç	2	Okay. Did you make those alterations of your
23 Q 24		Okay. Did you make those alterations of your own initiative or did you do it on the

here I mean the borrower. 1 2 It was -- most of the time it's a mutual -- a Α 3 mutually agreed change. 4 Q So this would be something that you would 5 discuss with the borrower before making the application? 6 7 А That's correct. 8 And usually would the practice be that you would Q 9 suggest the change or would the borrower request 10 the change? It would be either/or. Sometimes I would 11 А 12 suggest, sometimes borrowers would -- most of 13 the time when borrowers come to me they know 14 that they are not qualified and they know that I 15 can help them to achieve to get a mortgage. So 16 yeah, sometimes it's recommended by the borrower 17 and sometimes I do make the recommendation. 18 You said that when most of the time -- I think Q 19 you said most of the time when borrowers came to 20 you they knew that they were not qualified. Is 21 that what you said? 22 That is correct. That is correct. А 23 Q And why would they come to you? 24 Through referrals that -- through referrals that А 25 they understand they do not qualify in the

traditional way. And through my referrals 1 they -- the -- sorry, just one second, please. 2 3 Sorry. So it's through my referrals the -- my 4 referrals, normally I let them know that they 5 have somebody that could qualify them for a 6 mortgage, so they are aware before they come and 7 see me. 8 Q And did you have the impression that when they 9 came to see you they were aware that you would 10 help them qualify by altering their supporting documents? 11 12 Yeah. They were not aware of all the details, А 13 but they knew that I was going to help them in a 14 way that probably not a normal mortgage broker 15 could help them out. So they did not know 16 what -- the full details of what I would be 17 doing. 18 But when -- after they came to you, would you Q 19 have a discussion about how you proposed to 20 proceed with their application? 21 That is correct. А 22 And just to make sure that we're -- all the Q 23 bases are clear. What is the purpose of 24 altering these supporting documents on a 25 mortgage application?

1	А	To gualify the alients to get the mertage
	A	To qualify the clients to get the mortgage.
2	Q	It'll would it cause a lender to approve a
3		mortgage that they otherwise would not approve?
4	А	That is correct.
5	Q	When and here I'm speaking about this period
6		that precedes the suspension order, so 2007,
7		2008, when you were a registered mortgage
8		broker. Did you charge your clients additional
9		fees for altering the documents?
10	A	As far as I recall, no.
11	Q	How would you be compensated for these
12		transactions?
13	A	Through the banks. Through bank commissions.
14	Q	And can you just remind us how mortgage brokers
15		are usually compensated by lenders?
16	A	It's based on the mortgage amount. So usually
17		it's an example would be 1 percent of the
18		mortgage amount. So if it's the mortgage
19		amount is 500,000, then it would be 5,000. And
20		then the brokerage itself takes a certain
21		percentage. It depends on your split and
22		agreement with the brokerage company. And
23		then most of the time it's let's say it's
24		80/20, so 80 percent goes to the mortgage
25		broker submortgage broker and 20 percent goes

1 to the brokerage. 2 When you were a registered mortgage broker, were Q 3 you an employee of or a contractor with the 4 brokerages you worked with? 5 I think usually it's the same for everyone. I А 6 think it's a contract. 7 Q So you were working on a contract basis as well? 8 А That's right, yes. And so the details of the contractual 9 Q 10 arrangement between a mortgage broker and the brokerage can be different depending on the 11 12 individual -- the submortgage broker and the 13 brokerage? 14 Exactly. Yes. Α 15 Q Okay. 16 А Yeah. 17 Do you -- you made a reference to your clients Q 18 finding you by way of referral. Who were your 19 referral sources at that time? 20 Well, it started off with the word of mouth and А 21 then it just grew from there. And then later it 22 became to -- it went to realtors and developers, 23 anyone that was related with this real estate 24 industry and that needed help in getting 25 financing. So yeah, so those were realtors, I

Exall	DY MS. Pa	lei
1		would say.
2	Q	Mostly realtors. But you mentioned also
3		developers?
4	A	Yeah, it could be developers and yeah, not so
5		much as developers, but mostly just realtors,
6		real estate agents.
7	Q	And how did you become acquainted with these
8		realtors who would refer business to you?
9	A	When I started off I'd helped many clients.
10		Because I was an accountant, I had a list of
11		clients that through my accounting list
12		through my accounting business. So I started
13		off that way and then the news probably spread
14		out that, you know, I could do I'm able to
15		get mortgages, and so their realtors
16		apparently my clients realtors then, that's
17		where they approached me. And so that is how it
18		all started.
19	Q	The realtors who referred you business, do you
20		know if they were aware that you would alter
21		documents to get applications through?
22	А	Some of them, yes. Some of them initially maybe
23		not. Maybe later they found out but some of
24		them I did not have discussion. They thought I
25		could do something special and so they just

1		referred me the client and they never chose to
2		ask how I did it. Yeah.
3	Q	With those who knew what you were doing, did you
4		have direct conversations with them, telling
5		them that you how you were doing it?
6	A	Yes. Yes.
7	Q	And so to be clear, you would tell these
8		realtors who referred you business that you
9		would alter documents to qualify borrowers?
10	A	That is correct.
11	Q	And are you aware if at any point any of these
12		referral sources ever made a report to the
13		Registrar of Mortgage Brokers or any other
14		authority about what you were doing?
15	A	As far as I think, no, none of them.
16	Q	Okay. Can you and, again, I'm asking you
17		about things that happened in 2007, 2008, but
18		can you recall what the size of your referral
19		network was back then?
20	A	I really don't recall, but I think it was not as
21		big as later on. But I would assume maybe four,
22		five real estate agents.
23	Q	Four or five real estate agents?
24	A	Yes.
25	Q	Did any of the realtors who referred business to

1		you express concern that you were falsifying
2		documents to support mortgage applications?
3	A	No.
4	Q	And was it the case that what was the
5		relationship of the realtors who referred you
6		business to the borrowers that they referred to
7		you?
8	A	I don't know what the relationships were
9		specifically, but I thought probably it was just
10		the clients. So I don't know if they were
11		family members or they would have been just a
12		client from anywhere. I have no idea their
13		relationship.
14	Q	But sometimes were you aware that they were
15		clients of the realtors?
16	A	No, I sorry, I probably misunderstood your
17		previous question. So yeah, definitely they
18		were they would phone me and they would give
19		me the client's name. The realtors would phone
20		me and give me the client's name, so I knew when
21		the client phones me where the referral source
22		was coming from.
23	Q	I see.
24	A	Does that answer the question.
25	Q	Yeah. What I was actually getting at was

Jay	Chau	ıdha:	ry	(for	the	commi	LSS	Lon)
Exam	ı by	Ms.	Ρa	atel				

Enam	<i>by</i> 110. 14	
1		whether the borrowers were also clients of the
2		realtors. They were
3	A	Yes, yes.
4	Q	So the realtors who would refer you clients were
5		working with that borrower to close a
6		transaction?
7	A	Exactly.
8	Q	Okay. With respect to your referral network,
9		did you have any and again I'm asking you
10		about that period, 2007 to 2008. Did you have
11		any business arrangement or referral fee
12		arrangement with those referral sources?
13	A	Yes, I did.
14	Q	And can you explain what the nature of those
15		arrangements were.
16	A	In that period 2007, 2008, it would be probably
17		20 to 25 percent of my commission.
18	Q	And sorry, and who would be paying who?
19	A	I would. Myself as the mortgage broker would be
20		paying the realtors for sending me the clients.
21	Q	And it would be 20, 25 percent of your
22		commission? Was that your evidence?
23	A	That's right.
24	Q	Were you ever paid referral fees for getting
25		for obtaining financing for a client? Sorry,

1 that was a poorly phrased question. Let me 2 start again. 3 Were you ever paid a referral fee by a 4 realtor for getting financing for their client? 5 А No. The suspension order that we looked at mentioned 6 Q an allegation -- an anonymous caller who made an 7 8 allegation that you paid bribes to bank employees. Did you pay bribes to bank employees 9 10 in connection with loan applications? No, I didn't. 11 А 12 Now, what lenders were you submitting Q 13 applications to in this period 2007, 2008? 14 I think most of my applications went to First А 15 National, MCAP. I believe some would be TD. 16 Bajorta [phonetic] bank. But I don't recall. 17 It's been a long time. 18 And how would it come about that you would have Q 19 one or two lenders or three lenders that you 20 would refer mortgage applications to? 21 It's normally you just deal with a few lenders. А 22 Each lender would provide a different type of --23 they would have different type of programs. So 24 whichever the client fits in certain programs, 25 that's where we send the deals to.

25

1 For example, some lender might have a low 2 interest rate, they're giving some interest rate 3 discounts. So if the client's looking for a low interest rate, so we choose to send it to that 4 5 lender. Others might have some better rental programs and -- so wherever we could get the 6 7 clients qualified. 8 Q Is the decision -- was your decision to send 9 applications to particular lender, was that 10 based on -- at all on personal relationships or connections with -- personal or professional 11 12 relationships with people at the lender? 13 А No. 14 Going back to the mortgage loan applications, Q 15 can you tell us what is typically involved in 16 an -- like what kind of paperwork is involved in 17 an application package that goes to the lender? 18 It depends on the -- it depends on the А 19 occupation of the client. If a client is as far 20 as -- if the client is employed, then you 21 require a job letter and a pay stub. And if 22 self-employed, then it depends if it's a sole 23 proprietorship business -- type of business, 24 then you need a T1 general and notice of

assessments. And that would be for income.

28

And

1		then for down payment it would be most likely 90
2		days of bank statement. Those are the two major
3		documentations required.
4	Q	Okay. And would lenders require a credit check
5		or is that something they'd do themselves?
6	A	Yes. No, they do require a credit check. Yes.
7		But that's all done through the Filogix
8		software.
9	Q	And so is the credit check, is that something
10		that you as a mortgage broker would provide to
11		the lender, or is that something they would
12		they'd source on their own?
13	A	No, we would provide the credit check.
14	Q	Okay. And with respect to those documents that
15		you've just mentioned being part of the
16		application package, which of those were you
17		typically applying alterations to?
18	A	Mostly the income documentation.
19	Q	So that would be
20	~ A	Either the job letter sorry, the pay stub,
21		job letter, T1 generals and the notice of
22		assessments.
23	Q	For job letters, how would you make the
24	×	alterations?
	_	
25	A	Sometimes the clients that used to come to me,

1		they would already be they would be working
2		for someone that they knew. For example,
3		working for their a family member that they
4		mention to me, they would tell me that, you
5		know, they could increase the income. So that
6		was something that I could adjust I would
7		adjust and prepare appropriate pay stub for the
8		change of income.
9	Q	What about T1s and notices of assessment? How
10		would you apply changes to those documents?
11	A	The Tls, I would prepare a new set of Tls right
12		from the get-go using tax preparing software and
13		notice of assessment I would just change into
14		in Adobe PDF.
15	Q	So you would the notice of assessment you
16		would scan in and then make a change right in
17		Adobe?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	Okay. Was this difficult to do?
20	A	It's not easy. Yes.
21	Q	It's not easy?
22	A	No, it's not easy. It's difficult, yeah.
23	Q	Can you explain what part of it is difficult.
24	A	In order to manipulate or alter any document, I
25		think it is not as easy as it looks. It's

1		difficult. And it just needs time and effort,
2		but it can be done and but I don't know how
3		to explain, answer your question, though.
4	Q	I guess let me ask, is it difficult from a
5		technical perspective from operating for
6		example, from knowing how to operate Adobe
7		software to make the document look as it ought
8		to, is that the difficulty?
9	A	No, I think it's not as difficult I mean, if
10		somebody has basic knowledge of the software,
11		they will be able to alter it. It might not
12		be the difficult problem mostly comes if they
13		also know how to prepare T1s to have the numbers
14		correspond to the notice of assessment.
15	Q	I see. And so did your background in accounting
16		assist you with altering these documents in a
17		believable way?
18	А	That is true.
19	Q	And that comes to my next question. How when
20		preparing altered documents to support mortgage
21		applications, how would you come to determine
22		what the numbers should be?
23	A	We just did the basic calculation. We have
24		in the mortgage brokerage to qualify clients we
25		have the two ratios that we look at, the GDS and

1		the TDS. And so then we take these we try to
2		create an income that will fit these ratios and
3		qualify the clients to get them approved.
4	Q	Could you repeat the names of those two ratios.
5	А	GDS, which is gross debt service ratio.
6	Q	Okay.
7	A	And TDS, which is the total debt service ratio.
8	Q	So you would do some calculation to determine
9		what would be required to qualify this borrower
10		for the loan that they required?
11	A	That's right.
12	Q	And you'd adjust their
13	A	Income.
14	Q	Okay. When and I'm still in 2007, 2008. Did
15		you ever have a transaction a loan
16		application where the lender sought verification
17		of income documents from the CRA, and again that
18		you know of?
19	A	Yes, I do recall. Yes.
20	Q	Okay. And what would happen when this occurred?
21	A	We would just I would just not proceed with
22		the deal and just get it declined, so and
23		then we will just decline to just not get a
24		mortgage.
25	Q	Was it a possibility to just make an application

1		to a different lender?
2	A	Most of the time I would probably not do that
3		just because maybe the clients have been red
4		flagged, and but they could be a possibility
5		that I might have sent it somewhere else.
6	Q	At the time that you were doing this in 2007,
7		2008, was there an ability for a lender to
8		independently verify income with the CRA that
9		you are aware of?
10	A	I don't think so they could.
11	Q	Okay. Did you ever have a lender come back to
12		you and say, we'd like to look at the originals
13		of these documents?
14	A	As far as I recall, no.
15	Q	Do you recall lenders performing any kind of due
16		diligence and checks on the mortgage
17		applications that you were sending in?
18	A	I don't think so.
19	Q	Again referring to the period when you were a
20		registered mortgage broker, 2007, 2008, I want
21		to ask you a couple of questions about the
22		level the supervision and oversight that your
23		brokerage exercised over your activities. What
24		was the kind of oversight that was applied to
25		your applications and to your business

activities by the brokerage? 1 2 None. They did not ever look at anything. А 3 Basically once the deal was done, submitted, 4 approved, the only -- then we package it and 5 then send it to the brokerage where it's -- they check after the fact. 6 7 Q Were your -- are you aware if your applications 8 and your supporting documents were ever reviewed by the brokerage or by its designated 9 10 individual? Probably they did because they check marked the 11 А 12 items that I gave them because they would send 13 me a report. And that's how we get paid after 14 they have check marked that -- they have a list 15 of documents required for their fulfillment --16 document fulfillment requirements. And so I 17 believe they go through that they have all the paperwork that they need, but as far as -- but 18 19 that happens after the fact. That happens after 20 the deal is closed and then it's done with and 21 then we submit all the document paperwork to the brokerage. And then after then we get paid. 22 23 Q And in that check of documents would the 24 brokerage verify things like you put in the 25 notice of assessment, you'd put in the T1?

1	A	Yes, that's correct.
2	Q	And just going back, do you recall that on the
3		suspension order it sets out that on certain
4		notices of assessment there was an error
5		referring to the Canada Revenue Agencies, the
6		Canada Customs and Revenue Agency?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	You recall that. So that would have been
9		apparent to anybody reviewing your mortgage
10		application at the brokerage; is that right?
11	A	That is correct.
12	Q	Okay. And did anyone ever raise this
13		discrepancy with you?
14	A	No.
15	Q	Do you have any recollection of anybody at
16		either brokerage that you worked at ever
17		approaching you or questioning you about the
18		contents of an application that you had
19		submitted?
20	А	Never.
21	Q	Do you recall anyone at either brokerage ever
22		asking to see originals of your documents?
23	А	No.
24	Q	Do you recall anybody or are you aware of
25		anybody at either brokerage ever independently

reaching out to a borrower to confirm details on 1 2 a mortgage application? 3 А Never. 4 Q In your opinion would such a review or spot 5 check have detected the alterations that you were making? 6 7 А Yes. 8 And specifically what kind of review do you Q think would have caused these alterations to be 9 10 caught? I believe the managing broker, whoever is 11 А 12 reviewing the documentation, probably a call to 13 the clients and just to go over the application 14 again, I mean, could have caught some of the 15 discrepancies. Could have caught -- you know, 16 could have signalled something. And -- but as 17 far as the documentation goes, I mean, sometimes 18 you know, if someone looks at it thoroughly, 19 they could have caught it. But if the documents 20 is done perfectly, then probably they would have 21 not. So yeah. 22 So a thorough review of the documents might have Q 23 assisted and also confirming directly with the 24 borrowers? 25 А Yes.

1	Q	If lenders again I'm asking you for your
2		opinion. If lenders had had the ability to
3		verify notices of assessment and income directly
4		with the CRA, would that have prevented your
5		ability to engage in this activity of
6		successfully altering documents?
7	A	A hundred percent, yes. Yes.
8	MS.	PATEL: Madam Registrar, I'm going to ask you to
9		please pull up a document. RMB0257.
10	Q	Mr. Chaudhary, I'm not sure that you're familiar
11		with this document. Have you seen this before?
12	А	I just saw this, I think, yesterday.
13	Q	Okay. But before and you don't need to tell
14		me any discussions with your counsel, but I take
15		it that you hadn't seen this from any source but
16		the commission prior to last couple of days?
17	А	That's correct.
18	Q	Okay. And I'm on review of it are you able
19		to tell me what it is?
20	А	Yeah, these were the files that were the
21		mortgage applications that I did while I was a
22		mortgage broker in 2007, 2008, I believe.
23	Q	Okay. And we can see that there it says "table
24		of contents" and underneath it says "client file
25		analysis." And we see the first two names are

Jay Chaudhary (for the commission) 38 Exam by Ms. Patel the names --1 2 Yeah. А 3 -- of the borrowers that we previously reviewed Q 4 in the suspension order. Do you see that? 5 That's right. Yes. А 6 Okay. So it looks like this is some kind of a Q 7 report on your -- a sample of loans -- loan 8 applications that you made while you were a mortgage broker. Would you agree? 9 10 I agree. А MS. PATEL: Okay. Can we scroll down to page 2, 11 12 please, Madam Registrar. 13 And it says -- yeah. There we can see under 14 "synopsis" it says: 15 "Jay Chaudhary has been registered in British Columbia as a licensed real estate 16 17 representative since January 30, 2008. 18 His current registration is due to 19 expire." 20 And then it says, paragraph 3: 21 "Chaudhary has been registered in 22 British Columbia as a submortgage broker 23 since April 25th, 2007." 24 And sorry, I'm just reviewing that to establish 25 that you're the subject of this report.

1 THE WITNESS: M'mm-hmm. 2 MS. PATEL: And I'd like to scroll down, Madam 3 Registrar, to page 3, paragraph 8. 4 Q And the report sets out there that: 5 "On June 25, 2008, Nick Parente ... 6 Analyst, Compliance and Examinations, with the Mortgage Broker/Real Estate Division 7 8 of the Financial Institutions Commission 9 of BC ('FICOM'), attended the Finder 10 office --" 11 And finders was one of the brokerages you worked 12 at; is that right? 13 А That is true. 14 "-- for the purpose of conducting an Q examination. He removed 29 client files 15 16 from that office including 20 files for 17 which Chaudhary was the submortgage broker." 18 PATEL: And if we can scroll down, Madam 19 MS. 20 Registrar. 21 Paragraph 9 sets out the review that 22 Mr. Parente did of a number of mortgage files 23 noting suspicious notices of assessment. And 24 then if we could scroll down to this next page, 25 to paragraph 14. I'm just noting there -- at

Jay Chaudhary (for the commission) 40 Exam by Ms. Patel 1 paragraph 14 it's noted that: "Based on the foregoing, Chin was assigned 2 3 to investigate this matter on July 3, 4 2008." 5 So it just shows that an investigator was 6 assigned to this matter. 7 At paragraph 15 it says: 8 "On September 11, 2008, the Investigator 9 Robin Blair ... was assigned to continue 10 the investigation." 11 Paragraph 16: 12 "The investigation into Chaudhary's files was not yet complete. This report 13 14 constitutes a preliminary review of the 15 most obvious discrepancies identified by 16 Staff in twelve of the files it has seized." 17 18 So I'm just referring to that last 19 paragraph there to give you some context for 20 what is about to follow. 21 Scrolling down to page 8 of the document, 22 please, Madam Registrar. If you could scroll 23 down. Sorry. I think it's -- there's ... Down 24 please. It's page 8 of the document itself. So 25 this next one. I'm sorry. I'm on wrong page,

Jay Chaudhary (for the commission) 41 Exam by Ms. Patel 1 so just a moment, Madam Registrar, while I find 2 my reference. 3 I think we are looking for page 9 of the 4 internal. Thank you very much. Mr. Chaudhary, do you see there it says at the 5 Q 6 top of the page "Client File Analysis? 7 А Yes, I do. 8 And the first name is the name of the first Q 9 borrower who appeared on the suspension order. 10 Do you agree with that? Yes, I do. 11 А 12 Okay. And, again, do you recall this particular Q 13 borrower and this particular application? 14 No, I don't. А 15 Okay. And it just -- the analysis here sets out Q 16 that -- the property address on Howe Street in 17 Vancouver, paragraph 23. And this is, again, some of the same information we saw in the 18 19 suspension order. It states that: 20 "Chaudhary submitted a mortgage 21 application to First National stating that 22 Bonikowsky was a self-employed recorder 23 for Lost Souls Recording for the past 24 three years and eight months, earning 25 \$95,000."

It sets out in the next paragraph that he did 1 2 obtain mortgage approval from First National in 3 the amount of \$427,093.88. 4 At paragraph 25 we see a reference to a 5 notice of assessment that was -- contained the 6 wrong name. It that contained the name of the 7 Canada Customs and Revenue Agency, not the 8 Canada Revenue Agency. Do you see that? Yes, I do. 9 А 10 At paragraph 26 it says: Q "There is no letter of employment or pay 11 12 stubs from Lost Souls Recording." 13 Do you see that? 14 Yes, I do. А 15 And again, do you have any recollection of that Q 16 matter, of there being no pay stubs for 17 Mr. Bonikowsky or employment letter? Did this individual own the business? 18 А 19 It says he was a self-employed recorder for Lost Q 20 Souls Recording. 21 If he was self-employed, then why would someone А 22 need a bank -- an employment letter and a pay 23 stub? 24 But in any event do you have any recollection of Q 25 this matter?

Jay Chaudhary (for the commission) 43 Exam by Ms. Patel No, I don't. 1 А 2 Okay. At paragraph 27 it says: Q 3 "On July 21, 2008, Chin reviewed the file 4 upon completion of Parente's analysis. It 5 is Chin's belief that the 2005 and 2006 6 NOAs had been altered as the font used to 7 type the name is different than the font 8 usually found on documents completed by CRA." 9 10 MS. PATEL: And then, Madam Registrar, if you could scroll down. 11 12 And paragraph 28 and 29 we see information, 0 13 summaries of findings about bank balances. And 14 then what I wanted to draw your attention to paragraph 30. 15 16 MS. PATEL: Madam Registrar, and you can scroll down. 17 Thank you. And you can scroll down just a bit 18 more, please. That's fine. Thank you. 19 Q At paragraph 30 it says: 20 "A review of the Contract of Purchase and 21 Sale reveals that the seller of the 22 property was Jason Klotz." 23 And below there note. It says: 24 "Klotz in fact is the subject of a 25 criminal investigation being conducted by

Jay Chaudhary (for the commission) 44 Exam by Ms. Patel 1 Kijowski. That investigation involves a 2 realtor --" 3 And I apologize for the pronunciation I'm about 4 to botch, but: 5 "-- Niranjan Sachchithanantham ("Max 6 Sachchi"), who was using "straw buyers" to 7 purchase property. Klotz was used by Max 8 Sachchi to purchase three different 9 properties including this one." 10 Were you familiar with Mr. Sachi? Never heard his name before. 11 А 12 Okay. Were you familiar with Mr. Klotz, the 0 seller? 13 14 А No. 15 And then just below at paragraph 31 the report Q 16 goes on: 17 "Sachchi had submitted four false mortgage 18 applications, wherein he used Lost Souls 19 Records as the applicant's place of 20 employment. Kijowski has made inquiries 21 with regards to the address and has 22 determined that a company in that name did 23 exist. However, all attempts to track 24 down principals of that company through 25 the usual checks have failed. The actual

business premises did not show any signs 1 2 of being active or occupied. All 3 person(s) interviewed who had Lost Souls 4 Records listed as their place of 5 employment confirmed they had never worked 6 there and did not know if it actually 7 existed." 8 When you would prepare -- and I appreciate you don't have recollection of the specific 9 10 application. When you would prepare loan applications, would you conduct any due 11 12 diligence on a borrower's stated employer or 13 place of employment? 14 Yes, I would. А 15 Okay. What was that due diligence? Q 16 Sometimes specifically maybe with this case, for А 17 example, it could have been that they might have 18 brought the paperwork with them that -- stating 19 they would have provided initially. When I take 20 applications whatever their actual current 21 employment is I do require them to bring me a 22 job letter, pay stub, their T1s, their notice of 23 assessment, so that I can get a clear picture of 24 what their existing situation is. And for some 25 clients -- maybe this client could have been one

1 of those that did provide me -- maybe they did 2 make some alterations themselves before they 3 came to me. And so sometimes I had issues like 4 that before as well.

5 But as far as my due diligence would go, I mean, once I was looking at the bank statements, 6 7 looking at the deposits in the account to 8 ensure -- to see if -- you know, if someone was 9 working somewhere, is the payroll deposits going 10 in the bank -- in the bank statement or if the 11 T1s and the T4s match with the employer's name. 12 But just creating a job letter out of cold and when something doesn't exist, I was not into 13 14 that.

15 Q Was it part of your practice to make phone calls 16 or to Google the names of businesses to 17 determine if they actually existed?

18 Yeah. I normally Googled the name, А Yes. 19 ensured that -- Google the client's name, ensure 20 that they were -- you know, they were in good standing in Google. So, you know, check the 21 Equifax report to see if everything was clean. 22 23 And there would be no way for me to tell whether 24 this individual is working there or not working 25 there apart from, you know, just the client

telling me and nothing else otherwise shows up
 anywhere else.

MS. PATEL: Madam Registrar, if we could scroll down
to the next page, page 12 of the document.
Thank you.

6 Q Mr. Chaudhary, you see that this name at the 7 top, Atlin Alibeau, this is the other name that 8 we saw on the suspension order?

9 A Yes, I do recall. Yeah.

10 Okay. And again this sets out the details of Q 11 the application and the information that was 12 declared in that application. At paragraph 32 13 we see that the mortgage application provided 14 that Mr. Alibeau was a general construction 15 worker for a particular -- for Al's 16 Construction. That he earned \$74,000 annually. 17 That he did -- at paragraph 33 that he did 18 obtain financing from First National, \$341,000 19 approximately.

20 And then I want to scroll down a little bit.21 At paragraph 35 it says:

"The mortgage application shows Alibeau's
address as 1305 - 7351 Halifax Street
Burnaby, British Columbia. On October 3,
2008, Investigator Rod Clemons contacted

1 the manager of that building who advised 2 the building has a 13th floor, but it is 3 referred to as the penthouse. He also 4 advised he has been working there for 5 18 months and does not know anyone by the 6 name of Al or Atlin Alibeau." And you will recall that that was set out in the 7 8 suspension order? 9 Α Yes. 10 And then it says that: Q "Investigator Clemons conducted a reverse 11 12 address search for 1305-7351 Halifax 13 Street, Burnaby in the name of Alibeau 14 which yielded no results. However, a 15 telephone number --" 16 And I won't read it out. But: 17 "... a telephone number ... was located 18 for that name at 12502 - 99 Avenue, 19 Surrey, British Columbia." 20 And, Mr. Chaudhary, is that address familiar to 21 you. 22 А No. 23 MS. PATEL: Madam Registrar, if you could scroll 24 down. 25 Okay. It says at paragraph 37: Q

"Investigator Clemons conducted a BC 1 2 Online search of 12502 - 99 Avenue, Surrey 3 which revealed the property is currently 4 owned by Hasan Beka and has been since 5 May 9, 2008. Prior to that date, the 6 property was owned by Reka Chaudhary who 7 is the spouse of the subject of this 8 complaint." Does that assist your recollection with respect 9 10 to that address? 11 А I do recall there was a property -- there was 12 two properties. One was our principal residence 13 and there was a rental property that we owned, 14 but I do not recall the specific address of that 15 property. This was a long time ago and I just 16 don't recall the -- yeah. 17 Okay. Did you -- do you recall having a client Q 18 by the name of Alibeau? 19 I don't recall. А 20 Did you ever have a tenant by that name? Q 21 I don't recall. Definitely Alibeau was my А 22 client because it came from my files, but I just 23 don't recall the client. I've done so many 24 clients and -- I had so many clients. I just 25 don't recall this particular one.

1 0 Okay. And do you recall how it came to be that 2 he had a telephone number that was associated 3 with a residence that you previously owned? 4 А I have no idea. I don't recall. 5 MS. PATEL: Mr. Commissioner, I'm going to ask that this be marked as the next exhibit. 6 7 THE COMMISSIONER: Very well. That will be the next 8 exhibit. THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 654. 9 10 EXHIBIT 654: Investigation report on client files of Jay Chaudhary 11 12 MS. PATEL: Thank you. And, Madam Registrar, we can take this document down now. 13 14 I'm going to turn now, Mr. Chaudhary, to the Q 15 period after the suspension order was made. And 16 do you recall that the suspension order was made 17 under the Registrar's power to make such an 18 order without a hearing? That there was no 19 hearing before the order was made? 20 Sorry, can you repeat that again, please. А 21 That was sorry. Do you recall -- the suspension Q 22 order that we looked at at the beginning, the one dated October 16th, 2008 --23 24 Yes. А 25 -- do you recall -- okay. Do you recall that it Q

1		was made without a hearing?
2	A	I believe it was made without a hearing, but I
3		don't recall.
4	Q	And do you recall if you ever applied if you
5		appealed the order?
6	A	That was the that was a little bit I was a
7		little bit confused at that time because I just
8		became a mortgage broker for, like, two years,
9		and so I was not aware of the process. They did
10		give me this order stating that they will get
11		back to me, but then I never heard anything
12		back. I did made a couple of calls to see to
13		maybe get a consent, but then no one ever
14		contacted me from FICOM. And then later on I
15		just decided not to pursue with it.
16	Q	Did you ever apply to reinstate your
17		registration as a mortgage broker? As a
18		submortgage broker, sorry.
19	A	No, I didn't.
20	Q	Okay. So after October 16th, 2008, what did you
21		do as for employment?
22	A	Well, I went back to my accounting business.
23		And then for probably I don't recall for how
24		long. It could be just a few months or maybe
25		six months. Then the calls started to come in

to see if I could still help people out to get 1 mortgage and stuff. And I was a little bit 2 3 struggling with my accounting business and 4 things were going -- not going that great for me 5 financially. So then I decided to get into this 6 business again, but this time just using the help of other mortgage brokers to send my 7 8 applications and to get -- and from that time I started charging clients for the service I 9 10 provided. So you continued mortgage brokering activities 11 Q 12 but unregistered? 13 That is true. А 14 Okay. And how was it that you would make Q 15 applications as an unregistered person? 16 Well, I would make alliances with registered А 17 mortgage brokers and just took a normal 18 application from clients and did all the 19 leqwork. Collected documents, took applications 20 and then would package it all up and send it to 21 the mortgage broker who would then input it in 22 the system and submit it to the bank. 23 Q And you said that six months or so after you 24 ceased being a registered broker you started 25 receiving calls from people asking if you could

	2	
1		assist with financing; is that right?
2	A	That is correct, yes.
3	Q	And who were those calls coming from?
4	А	Mostly some would be repeat clients from
5		before. Other would be others would be
6		realtors. Real estate agents.
7	Q	The same realtors who were referring you
8		business before your suspension?
9	А	That is correct.
10	Q	Do you know if they were aware that you'd been
11		suspended?
12	А	Yes, they were.
13	Q	How do you know that they were aware that you'd
14		been suspended?
15	А	Because I spoke to them, all of them. They
16		knew. I had told them myself personally. And I
17		think I am not sure, but I do think the
18		suspension order goes out to real estate agents
19		as well, if I'm not aware if I'm correct.
20		The alert, the industry alert that once a
21		suspension happens they send it to all mortgage
22		brokers. And I believe the realtors receive
23		those suspension notices as well, so they could
24		have just seen it from there.
25	Q	Okay. And who were the realtors who continued

to refer you business after your suspension 1 2 knowing that you were suspended? 3 You mean like specific names? А 4 Are there any realtors that you recall referred Q 5 you business prior to your suspension and then 6 continued to refer you business after you had 7 told them that you had been suspended? 8 А Yes. Yes, there were. Yeah. MR. WHYSALL: I have a small concern and a small 9 10 objection to this. I'm quite happy for Ms. Patel to continue in the generalities that 11 12 realtors continued to refer him clients, but I 13 object to her asking for specific names. And I 14 do that because Mr. Chaudhary is the subject of 15 an ongoing investigation and there is a search 16 warrant been executed on him and the CRA is 17 investigating and that manner is in charge 18 approval. And we'd like to preserve as much as 19 possible his ability to have a fair hearing at a 20 later date. So I'd ask my friend to keep her 21 questions general in this area, please. 22 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Ms. Patel. 23 MS. PATEL: Mr. Commissioner, I'd simply submit 24 Mr. Chaudhary is here pursuant to a summons. I 25 think that's -- I've made that clear on the

1 record the basis on which he is giving information to the commission. We are a 2 3 commission of inquiry and looking at 4 specifically issues of money laundering in the 5 real estate sector. And I think that the 6 topic -- the issue of whether there were 7 realtors who continued to refer business to 8 Mr. Chaudhary despite knowing that he had been suspended is a matter of interest to the 9 10 commission. THE COMMISSIONER: And their specific identities, is 11 12 that part of your submission? As I understand 13 it, Mr. Whysall was not objecting to your asking 14 generally about this question but was objecting to the actual names of the realtors. I'm not 15 16 passing on that one way or the other, but -- if 17 I misunderstood his objection, then he can 18 restate it, but I think that is what he was 19 saying. 20 MS. PATEL: On reflection, Mr. Commissioner, I don't 21 think it's necessary that we have specific 22 names. I think I can get at the issues from

23maybe a couple of different questions to24Mr. Chaudhary which I don't think that25Mr. Whysall will find objectionable.

1THE COMMISSIONER: All right. I'll leave that to2you, then. Thank you.

3 MS. PATEL:

Q Mr. Chaudhary, so just going back to the issue
of the realtors who were referring you business
knowing that you'd been suspended, how many of
them were there that you can recall?

- 8 A I think -- I believe it was two as far as I 9 recall. There was two main ones. Yeah.
- 10 Q Okay. And to your knowledge are those realtors11 still licensed realtors in British Columbia?
- 12 A One of them is still.
- 13 Q And the other one?
- 14 A The other one unfortunately passed away.
- 15 Q Okay.
- 16 A Yeah.

17QYou mentioned -- so there were two realtors who18continued to refer business to you after your19suspension knowing that you were suspended. Did20your referral network expand from those two?

21 A Yes, it did.

22 Q Okay. And how did you expand that referral 23 network?

A I believe that the market grew, I think there was some progress in the market and I think the

1difficulty of clients getting mortgages, the2restrictions of the bank reporting on clients,3it became more strict and then the demand for4someone like myself increased. And I think that5combined with the booming market probably saw a6large influx of clients, you know, wanting to7get my service.

8 Q And that's -- you're explaining why there was 9 more demand for your services. My question was 10 more aimed at how did you develop your referral 11 network?

12 It was again through clients that start coming А 13 to me. One realtor, you know, could be friends 14 with another realtor and they could have shared 15 information with them and it just multiplied 16 after that, yeah. But I personally was not 17 running around and going realtor, realtor to ask 18 them for business. No. They normally just came 19 to me.

20 Q Okay. Can you -- and I appreciate -- can you 21 give us -- give the Commissioner an idea of the 22 period of time in which you were engaged in 23 unregistered activity. You think that maybe you 24 started, you've said, you know, six months or so 25 after your suspension. And when -- how long did

Jay Chaudhary (for the commission) 58 Exam by Ms. Patel 1 you carry on? 2 Until around 2018 until I got raided and until Α 3 the cease and desist. 4 So for approximately ten years? Q 5 А Yes. And so the answer to this might vary depending 6 Q on, you know, what period in that ten years you 7 8 look at. But do you have an idea of -- you 9 started out with -- I understand that you 10 started out with a small referral circle, one or two realtors; is that right? 11 12 That's correct. А And what size did your referral circle grow to? 13 Q 14 Do you have an estimate? 15 I think, you know, some realtors came, some А 16 went, but at one time I would have probably 17 around 15 to 20 real estate agents. And again, I've asked you about realtors. Was 18 Q 19 there -- were there other professionals in your 20 referral network? 21 А No. Just real estate agents and mortgage 22 brokers. 23 Q Okay. And with respect to the realtors, did you 24 have business arrangements with them with 25 respect to the referrals?

A	Yes, I did. Yes.
Q	Okay. And what was the nature of those business
	arrangements?
A	As far as the part of the commission that I
	would collect from the clients, the borrowers, I
	would give them a second percentage for
	referring me the clients. So yeah, so I
	would charge the client because I was no longer
	a registered mortgage broker, so the banks were
	not paying. So my fees would come from the
	clients directly. And
Q	And a percentage of those fees would be paid to
	the realtor who referred them?
A	That's right. About 20, 25 percent of that.
Q	What was the size of the fee that you were
	charging your clients?
A	1 percent of the mortgage amount.
Q	And what percentage of that would you pay to the
	referring realtor?
A	20 to 25 percent of that.
Q	Of those 15 to 20 realtors which came to form
	your referral network, do you have any idea how
	many of them are still licensed realtors?
А	I would say about 80 percent of those.
Q	I asked you about your business arrangements
	A Q Q A Q A Q A Q A Q

1 with realtors. But you also -- of course you had a network of brokers -- of mortgage brokers 2 3 that you worked with; is that right? 4 А That's correct. 5 And how -- can you just -- sorry if I'm being Q 6 repetitive. Can you explain why it was you needed a registered broker in order to carry on 7 8 your business? In order to get the deal submitted to the banks 9 А 10 you need a mortgage broker. 11 Q What is it that a mortgage broker has access to 12 that allows them to make an application to a 13 lender? 14 So they use software, Filogix software, where Α 15 they put the information in and the software is 16 then -- they submit. And then the bank, the 17 mortgage industry receive this information and 18 that's how they process it. 19 And is this -- the Filogix software, does it Q 20 have -- is there a, like, a user name and 21 password particular to every mortgage broker? 22 Exactly. Yes. А 23 Q Okay. So it's supposed to be only that mortgage 24 broker who uses the password and the user ID to 25 access the software?

1	А	Correct. Correct.
2	Q	And when you first started out in 2008, 2009,
3		with your unregistered activity, how many
4		mortgage brokers were assisting you?
5	A	None.
6	Q	Okay. And how did you come to did you come
7		to have mortgage brokers assist you with your
8		activity?
9	A	Sorry, how did I come how did I get this
10	Q	Yeah, my first question is did you get mortgage
11		brokers to assist you with your unregistered
12		activity?
13	A	Yes, I did.
14	Q	Okay. And how did it come about that you got
15		people to help you?
16	A	In the beginning the first individual first
17		mortgage broker I approached, I laid out my plan
18		that this I have lots of clients and I would
19		like to get the mortgages done for my clients,
20		and I set out a plan that this is what I'd like
21		to do and if he was interested. So it worked
22		out. He said he wanted to do it with me. I
23		told him the risk that was involved, and he was
24		still willing to continue. So then I made an
25		arrangement with him and so that's how it

1 started. 2 And then later on through word of mouth or 3 whatever I might have approached some other 4 individuals, one or two other individuals myself 5 and added them to my team of mortgage brokers that I would use to submit deals. 6 7 Q And what was the nature of the arrangement that 8 you would have with the mortgage brokers?

They would -- most of them I would do all the 9 А 10 paperwork, all the leqwork. Meeting the clients, getting the clients, giving them all 11 12 the documentations they need to input it in 13 their Filogix system and get the approval. And 14 for all that service that I do, they would pay 15 me back 25 to 30 percent of their commission. 16 And how would they make the payments to you? Q 17 In cash. А

18 Q And then -- by cash do you mean physical cash?
19 A Yes. Physical cash, yes.

20 Q And how would you deal with the cash that you 21 received?

A I would deposit it in my bank account most ofthe time.

Q Did you have a single bank account or did youuse more than one?

1 A I used more than one.

- Q Okay. And why would you use -- why did you use more than one bank account?
- 4 The same reason why a lot of people have many А 5 bank accounts, I guess. They sometimes would 6 relocate branches near you. You know, some 7 branches provide better service. Maybe over 8 time you get a mortgage from some other banks 9 then you develop a relationship with. So there 10 was no one particular reason why I had two different banks. 11
- 12 Q Were you ever concerned that depositing large 13 amounts of cash would raise suspicion at the 14 banks?
- 15AYes, I did.And I had a business account as16well where I deposit some funds in there as17well, so ...
- 18 Q And would -- those business accounts, did you 19 open them under corporate names?
- A Yeah, I had one business account which I had
 under a corporate name, yeah.
- 22 Q By the time that you -- you mentioned that there 23 was a search order executed sometime in 2008 --24 2018, and we'll get to that. But by 2018 at the 25 point at which your activity was detected by the

1		Registrar of Mortgage Brokers, how many how
2		big was your referral how many mortgage
3		brokers did you have working with you?
4	A	I think it was four.
5	Q	And was the business arrangement with each of
6		them the same?
7	A	Pretty much, yeah.
8	Q	Was there any significant departure from the
9		arrangement of splitting, you know, a quarter
10		of 25 percent of the fee going to you, of the
11		commission going to you?
12	A	No, there was no no.
13	Q	You mentioned four mortgage brokers. Were they
14		aware actually, let me back up. During this
15		time is it the case that you continued to alter
16		documentation in support of applications to
17		qualify borrowers?
18	А	Not all the documents but maybe 70 percent of
19		the documents.
20	Q	Do you mean 70 percent of the documents
21		submitted by borrower or 70 percent of the
22		applications had altered documents?
23	A	70 percent of the applications had yeah.
24	Q	And were the document that is you were altering,
25		did they continue to be the same types that you

1		were altering in the time that you were a
2		registered broker?
3	A	That's correct.
4	Q	So bank statements and notices assessment, T1s?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	The mortgage brokers that you worked with, were
7		they aware that you were altering documents to
8		support the applications you were processing
9		through them?
10	A	90 percent, yes.
11	Q	Okay. And I should make clear of course you can
12		only say if you believe that they were aware.
13	A	Yeah.
14	Q	So you believe that 90 percent of them were
15		aware that you were falsifying documents?
16	A	That's right. Yes.
17	Q	Okay. And why do you believe that they were
18		aware that you were falsifying documents?
19	A	Because I believe they ought to have known that
20		I have that I have been doing this business
21		for a while and they had my name and this is
22		what I was doing. So if they are coming to me
23		and getting referrals from me, I think it is an
24		automatic assumption that they know what I do.
25		So I think that was one reason. The second

one is there has been times where the 1 2 information in an application has changed and 3 they are aware of and so making these changes 4 should have triggered them that there's 5 something wrong with the application, but they 6 still continued to pursue with the application. 7 So even though it was -- I might not have 8 directly told them that I'm altering documents, 9 but it would have been very easy for them to 10 know that it was an altered document, but they chose not to -- they just chose to ignore it. 11 12 You said that they would see changes. Is it the Q case that some of these mortgage brokers would 13 14 have access to the original documents that 15 you --16 А Yes. 17 -- received from the client? Q 18 Yes. А 19 Okay. And how was it that they would come to Q 20 have access to the original documents? 21 Sometimes, you know, as human beings we do make А 22 errors and stuff, and sometimes I would have --23 probably have sent couple of files with both the 24 altered documents and originals in the -- in a 25 file and they see both. They choose to ignore

Jay Chaudhary (for the commission) 67 Exam by Ms. Patel 1 one. 2 Did you ever have a broker that you were working Q 3 with express alarm or concern that you were 4 altering documents? 5 А No. 6 Did any of the brokers that you were working Q with ever discuss the possibility of reporting 7 8 you to the Registrar of Mortgage Brokers? 9 А Never. 10 Why do you think they didn't? Q I believe they -- there was -- probably the main 11 А 12 answer would be -- I can't tell -- I can't speak 13 on their behalf but probably the monetary gain 14 was there. You know, they were making 15 commissions. And, you know, with hardly ever 16 doing anything because most of the work was done 17 by me, they would just be inputting information 18 and getting approvals. So the ease of 19 transaction and the amount of money they're 20 making was good -- you know, was helping for 21 them in that way, so ... 22 And I have the same question that I had with Q 23 respect to the real estate licensees. Are you 24 aware if any of the brokers that -- and if I use 25 the word "fronted," do you understand that I

1		mean a registered person is submitting
2		applications for an unregistered person?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	Okay. Do any of the brokers are you aware if
5		any of the brokers who processed applications
6		for you are still registered mortgage brokers in
7		British Columbia?
8	A	No, none of them are.
9	Q	Okay. And do you know what the status of their
10		registration is?
11	A	They have been suspended.
12	Q	And does that apply to all of the mortgage
13		brokers that you worked with?
14	A	Exactly, yes.
15	Q	In the period of and just to give you to
16		let you know here, I'm aware of the time, I'm
17		proposing to take a break at about 11:30. So
18		can you hang on for another ten minutes before a
19		15-minute break?
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	Okay. Thank you. In the period that you were
22		operating as an unregistered broker in we'll say
23		2009 to about 2018, are you aware of any
24		borrowers who borrowers whose applications
25		you processed and altered, are you aware of any

1		of them defaulting on their loans?
2	A	I've never had one them. So if there were any,
3		I'm not aware of, but as far as I recall I have
4		not had a single complaint through my referral
5		sources or through the banks or through my
6		mortgage brokers that someone has defaulted and
7		there's some issues going on.
8	Q	Okay. I understand that one of the purposes of
9		the financial information that you submit to
10		lenders is to establish that a borrower has the
11		ability to service a loan; is that correct?
12	A	That's correct.
13	Q	Okay. And in particular a borrower's income
14		would indicate their ability to service a loan?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	And by service a loan, we mean paying
17	A	Paying the mortgage. Making the mortgage
18		payments.
19	Q	Yes. Yes. And so would you agree that having a
20		borrower or a number of borrowers default on
21		their loans would be a risk to your ongoing
22		business?
23	A	Yes, I believe that. Yes.
24	Q	And can you just explain why that would be a
25		risk?

1	A	It's a risk that the risk could come so
2		once the default happens, then the financial
3		institution, the mortgage lenders that have the
4		application has these clients, they probably
5		do the auditing to see why did these clients
6		default and what was the reason behind this
7		default. And maybe through this audit they
8		might find some discrepancies in the application
9		that and then you know, then they might
10		find that it was a false application.
11		So that's one reason that it's not good for
12		our clients to default because it will raise
13		concerns and, you know, the so that is, I
14		think, the primary reason. Yeah, I think that's
15		probably the main reason.
16	Q	And so was that a concern for you that the
17		applications that you processed not result in
18		defaults?
19	A	That is yeah, that was very important to us.
20	Q	Okay. And would you take any steps to ensure

21 that you were processing applications for loans
22 where there wouldn't be a default?
23 A Yeah, so apart from just what normally a bank

23 A Yeah, so apart from just what normally a bank 24 or, you know, a financial institution looking to 25 qualify a client, you know, they all look at the

same things, an Equifax report to see the habits of a client. We look at the bank statements showing that the money has accumulated, deposits being made consistent to the -- consistent to the income that they are saying they are making. Then we look at the pay stub, employment job letter. So these are all standard items.

8 But because the type of -- the type of clients that I helped does not show some of 9 10 these elements, that's why they were not 11 qualifying, I would then take another approach 12 to see how else we could qualify them that --13 despite they're not showing all this income. So 14 I will then -- let's say, if a client, for 15 example, is living in a house and renting for 16 \$5,000 a month and he was trying to get a 17 mortgage for 3,500 a month but he does not 18 qualify to get the mortgage. For two years he 19 paid his rent. 5,000, he had no issues much.

Now when he wants to go and apply for a mortgage for paying a \$3,500 rent -- I mean, a \$3,500 monthly mortgage payment, he doesn't qualify. I can tell that this client has the ability to pay because if he can pay off \$5,000 rent, then he definitely can pay a smaller

mortgage amount. So that's a test I used to use
 as one of my tools.

3 Other would be there would be maybe an 4 additional family member, support for the -- you 5 know, they have kids, parents, old age parents 6 with securities, old age security pensions. So 7 I mean, those are the things I would extra look 8 at to see if there was -- then of course finally 9 is the word of the clients. We know they are --10 they said, yeah, they can make those payments as 11 well. So I hope that answers the question. 12 So you would look beyond the income that was Q 13 declared by a client to see what their resources 14 were?

15 A Yes.

16 Okay. And, I mean, would it be fair to say from Q 17 that that the income that you were looking at 18 was at the very least undeclared income? 19 Yes, it could be. Could be undeclared. Or А 20 certain income such as rental income, for 21 example. The banks use a different method of 22 calculating rental income when they calculate 23 the GDS and TDS. So -- and I believe that a 24 better rental -- because they use 50 percent of 25 the rental income, they used to add it to the

1 income of the clients and that's how they 2 determine that was the maximum they use. But 3 there's a better way to -- I think 50 percent is 4 just too low. And so I mean, small things like that that I kind of use to determine. 5 Did you ever have -- did you ever have clients 6 Q 7 where you came to believe or you formed the view 8 that they had income that they simply weren't 9 reporting to the CRA? 10 Yes, I think. Yeah. А 11 Q And was that a common occurrence with your 12 clients? Mostly, yes. Most of my clients were 13 А 14 self-employed. Either they were having big 15 deductions as their expense in their -- from 16 their revenue and -- or probably not declaring 17 as much. I mean, in the nature of employment if 18 somebody is -- for example, if somebody is a 19 plumber, we know that -- you know a 20 self-employed plumber, you know, can make an 21 income of 100- to 120,000, but he is only 22 showing 40,000 income. So, I mean, there is --23 there was that room that I could change his 24 income to 100-, to 120,000 to reflect the true 25 nature of income that he can earn from that type

Jay Chaudhary (for the commission) 74 Exam by Ms. Patel 1 of employment. 2 Did you ever consider that a borrower's source Q 3 of funds might come from illegal activity? 4 No, never. А 5 Tax evasion? Q Yeah, it never crossed my mind. 6 А MS. PATEL: Mr. Commissioner, this would be a good 7 8 moment to take the morning break, if convenient 9 to you. 10 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. We'll take 15 minutes. 11 Thank you. 12 THE REGISTRAR: This hearing is adjourned for a 13 15-minute recess until 11:46 a.m. Please mute 14 your mic and turn off your video. 15 (WITNESS STOOD DOWN) 16 (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 11:31 A.M.) 17 (PROCEEDINGS RECONVENED AT 11:46 A.M.) 18 THE REGISTRAR: Thank you for waiting. The hearing 19 is now resumed. Mr. Commissioner. 20 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Madam Registrar. 21 JAY CHAUDHARY, for the 22 commission, recalled. 23 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, Ms. Patel. 24 EXAMINATION BY MS. PATEL (continuing): 25 Mr. Chaudhary, just for a moment going back to 0

Q

1 the realtors who would refer business to you. 2 And again we're talking about after the 3 suspension. Did you ever have a realtor ask you 4 directly when they were referring a client if 5 you were a registered mortgage broker or submortgage broker? 6 7 Α Yes. 8 And what would you --Q 9 А Some -- I would say no. To most of them, yeah. 10 And did you ever have --Q To almost all of them I would. Most of the 11 А 12 realtors that actually came to me through my 13 referrals, so most of them already knew that I 14 was not a registered mortgage broker. But there could have been a few -- because I have dealt 15 16 with guite a few, there could have been a few 17 that were not aware and they probably did not 18 ask me and I probably did not let them know as 19 well. So there probably could have been a 20 couple here and there that still did not know I was not a mortgage broker. And so I would say 21 22 80 percent of the realtors that were in my 23 circle, they knew that I was not a mortgage 24 broker. 25 You said that there were occasions on which a

1		realtor would ask you if you were a registered
2		mortgage broker and you would respond no; is
3		that right?
4	A	That is right.
5	Q	And what would be the response of that realtor
6		to that information?
7	A	They would probably say, then how do you do your
8		mortgage? I would just tell them that I use
9		other mortgage brokers to submit application.
10		And so yeah, that's probably it. And most of
11		the time you were okay with it, so yeah.
12	Q	You said that you would tell them. Do you
13		recall that such conversations actually
14		happened?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	Did you ever have a realtor who declined to
17		refer you business after finding out that you
18		were not registered?
19	A	No.
20	Q	Are you aware of how a member of the public
21		could go about determining if an individual is
22		a registered submortgage broker?
23	A	Yes, I am aware. They can just log into the
24		FICOM website and check if someone is registered
25		or not as a mortgage broker.

1	Q	When you say log onto the website, is there any
2		kind of logon credential needed or is it
3	А	No, sorry, it's accessible. What I meant is
4		that.
5	Q	In the period from 2009 to 2018 when you were
6		carrying on as an unregistered broker, were you
7		still altering documents in the same way that
8		you did before in 2007 and 2008?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	And were you using the same kinds of tools?
11	A	Yes, I was.
12	Q	Okay. And did you again, did you ever have a
13		lender come back and say that they wanted to see
14		originals of documents?
15	А	I don't recall.
16	Q	Do you recall do you ever recall having a
17		lender come back and say, this application looks
18		suspicious; it doesn't look right?
19	А	Maybe once or twice, maybe could have happened,
20		but I clearly don't recall, though. Yeah.
21	Q	When we spoke earlier about the process by
22		which you would determine what changes you had
23		to make to a borrower's financial documents to
24		qualify them. Are we talking generally were
25		you talking about small adjustments or large

1		changes to stated income and stated assets?
2	A	I would say it depends on the purchase price and
3		what the borrowers were trying to how much
4		the borrowers were trying to get a loan for. It
5		could some applications were small, you know,
6		minor changes here and there, but others could
7		have been larger too.
8	MS.	PATEL: Madam Registrar, I'm going to ask to
9		pull up a document that should not be displayed
10		on the livestream. RMB0021.
11	Q	Mr. Chaudhary, do you see that there's a
12		document on the screen being displayed?
13	A	Yes, I do.
14	Q	Okay. And do you recognize this document?
15	A	Yes. I saw this this morning.
16	Q	Okay. It has some yellow markings on it that
17		wouldn't be on a previous version that you've
18		seen, but
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	Yeah. Can you tell the Commissioner what this
21		document is.
22	A	Oh, sorry, it's on mine. Sorry. Yes, this is a
23		cease and desist order for myself.
24	Q	From the Registrar of Mortgage Brokers?
25	A	That's right.

1	MS.	PATEL: And, Madam Registrar, if we could just
2		scroll down to page 17, the last page of this
3		document. And I just want to see the date
4		there.
5	Q	Mr. Chaudhary, do you see the date at the bottom
6		of this order?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	Okay. And it's dated the 23rd of May, 2019?
9	A	That is correct.
10	MS.	PATEL: And this Madam Registrar stay
11		there, actually.
12	Q	So do you see that underneath
13		paragraph number 82 it says:
14		"I am therefore of the opinion that the
15		length of the time that would be required
16		to hold a hearing and make orders under
17		section 8(1.4) of the Act would likely
18		result in further non-compliance with
19		provisions of the Act and therefore be
20		prejudicial to the public interest."
21		And then sorry, I actually wanted to go to go
22		to do you see where it says "I hereby order"?
23		Okay. And then it says:
24		"I hereby, order pursuant to section
25		8(1.4)(a) and $8(2)$ of the Act, Jay Kanth

1		Chaudhary to immediately cease and desist
2		from carrying on business as a mortgage
3		broker or submortgage broker, from acting
4		as or holding out as a mortgage broker or
5		submortgage broker in British Columbia and
6		from conducting any unregistered mortgage
7		broker activity in the province of
8		British Columbia in any capacity,
9		effective immediately, unless and until he
10		becomes registered to do so under the
11		provisions of the Act."
12		And do you understand that this is was a cease
13		and desist order directed to you?
14	A	Yes, I do.
15	Q	And it was in respect of the unregistered
16		mortgage broker activities that we've been
17		discussing this morning?
18	А	Exactly, yes.
19	MS.	PATEL: Madam Registrar, if we could scroll up to
20		the first page of this document. And if you
21		actually could scroll down.
22	Q	Now, do you see, Mr. Chaudhary, there at
23		paragraph 9 under the title "The Investigation"?
24	A	Yes.
25	Q	You see it says there that:

1 "The Office the Registrar of Mortgage 2 Brokers ... received specific complaints 3 from two sources regarding Mr. Chaudhary. 4 Between July 2017 and October 2017, an 5 individual identified as --" 6 We'll use the initial the AJ. 7 "... sent several emails to Staff alleging 8 Mr. Chaudhary was carrying on unregistered 9 mortgage broker activities. On March 13, 10 2018 ... the AVP of Corporate Security, Risk and Regulation with BlueShore 11 12 Financial ... sent a formal written 13 complaint against a registered submortgage 14 broker. The complaint alleged that an 15 internal audit of mortgage files submitted 16 to BlueShore by the broker raised a 17 suspicion that some of the files included falsified documents." 18 19 So do you understand that this paragraph is 20 explaining how it was that your unregistered 21 activity came to the attention of the Registrar 22 of Mortgage Brokers? 23 А Yes, I do. 24 And so that date was -- the first complaint was Q 25 received in July of 2017.

Jay Chaudhary (for the commission) 82 Exam by Ms. Patel MS. PATEL: And then I'd like to scroll to 1 2 paragraph 14 on page 3 of the PDF, Madam 3 Registrar. 4 Q Under the heading "BlueShore Complaint." Do you 5 see that? Yes. Yes, I do. 6 Α 7 Q Okay. It says: 8 "In its --" 9 I'm sorry, I've just lost my place for a moment. 10 Just bear with me. The BlueShore Complaint, 11 paragraph 14 it says: 12 "In its March 13, 2018 complaint, 13 BlueShore advised Staff as follows: 14 Between December 2017 and (a) 15 January 2018, BlueShore received three 16 mortgage applications from S.B. where 17 the authenticity of the income 18 documentation was guestioned; 19 In January 2018, BlueShore conducted (b) 20 an internal audit of mortgage files 21 submitted by S.B. including contacting 22 the accountant of record on the Canada 23 Revenue Agency ... T1 General Income 24 Tax and Benefit Return Forms ... and 25 the T2125 Statement of Business or

Professional Activities forms 1 submitted as income verification on 2 3 S.B.'s mortgage files; 4 (C) This audit showed that of the 48 files 5 S.B. submitted to BlueShore between 6 2012 and 2017, 15 files had discrepancies in the financial records 7 8 provide the. These files were funded 9 by BlueShore and seven of the 15 were 10 insured by either Canada Mortgage and 11 Housing Corporation (CMHC) or 12 Genworth." 13 Now, first of all, Mr. Chaudhary, do you -- from 14 this complaint -- you've seen this before -- do 15 you understand who S.B. is? And I'm not asking 16 you to name this person, but I'm asking if you 17 understand who they're referring to. Yes, I could do. 18 А 19 And who was S.B. -- what was their relationship Q 20 to you? 21 He was a mortgage broker and -- whom I was А 22 submitting deals to. 23 Q Okay. And are you aware if some of those deals 24 were these deals that were identified by 25 BlueShore Financial?

	-	
1	А	I don't know which deals were in this with
2		BlueShore Financial. They could have been some
3		of my deals.
4	Q	And I should
5	А	Were there specific deals mentioned in this
6		report?
7	Q	Not here. My question is more general. Are you
8		aware if you submitted deals that were funded by
9		BlueShore through S.B.?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	Okay. With and using falsified documents?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	And then I just wanted to scroll down to
14		paragraph 16. There's a title a heading
15		"Order to Enter S.B.'s Residence." It says
16		there:
17		"On August 8, 2018, the Acting Registrar
18		of Mortgage Brokers signed an Order
19		under section 6(7.1) of the Act to enter
20		the premises of S.B. On August 9, 2018, a
21		Form 8 Order to Enter was endorsed by a
22		Judicial Justice of the Peace to be
23		executed on August 16, 2018. Staff
24		attended S.B.'s home on that day and
25		executed the Order."

1 So are you aware that there was a search conducted of S.B.'s residence? 2 3 А Yes, I am. 4 Okay. And then if we can scroll down to Q 5 paragraph 18. It says: 6 "Staff seized several items from the premises including two laptops, a MacBook 7 8 Air, an iPhone 7, and 75 mortgage files." And then this is -- and just to give a little 9 10 bit of context, down to paragraph 20. It says "Order to Enter Mr. Chaudhary's Residences." It 11 12 says: 13 "On January 30, 2019 the Acting Registrar 14 signed two applications for an Order to 15 Enter under section 6(7.1) of the Act 16 relating to Mr. Chaudhary's known premises 17 including --" 18 An address at Homer Mews and Pacific Boulevard. 19 "On February 1, 2019, a Judicial Justice 20 of the Peace endorsed the two Form 8 21 Orders to Enter Authorizations to be 22 executed on February 12, 2019." 23 And if we scroll down just to the next page to 24 paragraph 21. It then says that: 25 "On February 12, 2019, Staff attended

Homer Mews with uniformed --" 1 2 Police department. And then at paragraph 24 it 3 similarly described that on February 12th staff 4 attended another address on Pacific Boulevard 5 with uniformed police officers. 6 Do you recall that on or about 7 February 12th, 2019, there was a search 8 conducted by the Registrar of premises 9 associated with you? 10 Yes. Α 11 MS. PATEL: And I want to scroll down to 12 paragraph 28, please, Madam Registrar, which I believe is page 9. Okay. 13 14 Q And paragraph 48 this cease and desist order 15 goes on. It says: 16 "During the execution of the Order to 17 enter S.B.'s residence on August 16, 2018, 18 Staff examined S.B.'s MacBook Air, located 19 an electronic mortgage file and viewed the 20 following transactions." 21 A is: 22 "A mortgage application submitted by S.B. on behalf of H.K. to Scotiabank which 23 24 funded a mortgage for H.K. secured by a 25 property on Barnet Road."

Jay Chaudhary (for the commission) 87 Exam by Ms. Patel 1 Do you see that? 2 Yes, I do. Α 3 And then at paragraph 51. Do you -- so before I Q 4 continue. Do you recall that this is a 5 mortgage -- this H.K. was a client of yours 6 whose application you processed through the 7 registered mortgage broker S.B.? 8 А Yes, I do. 9 Okay. And then -- and similarly -- and did you Q 10 in connection with that mortgage falsify, alter his supporting documentation? 11 12 I could have. А 13 Did you? Q 14 I could have, but I'm not sure, though. А 15 Do you simply not recall? Q 16 I would say I don't recall. A lot of files I А don't recall, but I must -- I could have -- I'm 17 18 not saying that I did not alter anything, but I 19 just don't recall. 20 Okay. Well, let's look at -- at paragraph 51 it Q 21 stated: 22 "On September 11, 2018, P.A. Ltd. --" 23 Pardon me. Yeah. 24 "P.A. Ltd. was served with a summons to 25 produce the 2015 and 2016 T1 Generals

Jay Chaudhary (for the commission) 88 Exam by Ms. Patel 1 prepared for H.K." 2 And we've established that H.K. was a client of 3 yours. 4 "The original documents produced by 5 P.A. Ltd. differ from the documents 6 contained in the S.B. mortgage file as 7 follows." 8 It says (a): 9 "The original tax documents for 2015 show 10 a total income of \$34,428.00 whereas the S.B. documents show an annual income of 11 12 \$279,726.00." 13 Do you see that, Mr. Chaudhary? 14 Yes, I do. Α 15 And were you responsible for altering the annual Q 16 income reported or indicated on the 2015 tax 17 documents? 18 А Most likely. Okay. And that scale -- we spoke just before 19 Q 20 the break about the scale of the changes that 21 you would make to financial documents in order 22 to qualify a person for a mortgage. Is this 23 change from 34,000 to almost 280,000, is that 24 something that would be out of the ordinary for 25 you or is that typical?

1	A	This could be out of ordinary.
2	Q	Do you recall having made other changes that
3		were as significant?
4	A	I do. Not specific files, but yeah, I do
5		remember making some changes that were quite
6		significant, but then of course I did check
7		other avenues for the client's revenues.
8		Otherwise I spoke to the clients and they
9		reassured me that they are they can make the
10		mortgage payments.
11	Q	You were satisfied that they were able to
12		service the loans.
13	A	That's right.
14	Q	Simply that their reported income didn't reflect
15		that ability.
16	A	That's right.
17	Q	And was it the case in this instance did you
18		believe that the borrower's reported income was
19		underdeclared to the CRA?
20	A	I think so. I think so.
21	Q	And then so at 51(b) it says:
22		"The original tax document for 2016 showed
23		a total income of \$74,930.00"
24		We are still talking about the same individual
25		Н.К.

-	audhary y Ms. Pa		90
1		" whereas the S.B. documents show a	
2		total income of \$311,701.00."	
3		And again, do you recall making that change?	
4	A	I don't recall making the change but most likely	Y
5		I might have done it.	
6	Q	Okay. At paragraph 52, I just want to note	
7		that:	
8		"On October 17th, 2018, H.K., responding	
9		to a September 12, 2018 Summons to Attend	
10		and Produce Records, was interviewed by	
11		staff and advised as follows."	
12		And just (b). It says:	
13		"He used the services of a mortgage broker	
14		named George DeSouna although he was	
15		not sure of the spelling of the last	
16		name."	
17		Have you conducted business under the name	
18		George DeSouna?	
19	A	Yes, I have.	
20	Q	And did you use other names as well to conduct	
21		your unregistered brokerage activities?	
22	A	Yeah. Yes. Mike Kumar.	
23	Q	And why did you use assumed names?	
24	A	To protect myself from individuals that are in	
25		direct competition with me and people that were	

Jay	Chau	ıdhaı	ſУ	(for	the	commis	ssion)
Exam	by	Ms.	Рa	tel			

7

1		jealous of what I was doing.
2	Q	Okay. Why would somebody be jealous of what yo
3		were doing?
4	A	Well, I'm direct competition to other mortgage
5		brokers and also competition to the realtors
6		that I work with, so I am in competition to the

8 Q And why were you in competition to the realtors?

realtors I don't work with.

- 9 Can you explain that?
- 10 Well, the realtors that I work with probably has А 11 an upper hand, so -- because the clients would 12 probably end up going to them because they know 13 the realtors have this individual who can get 14 them the mortgage, so -- and whereas the realtors that do not have the services of 15 16 individuals like us will -- might have 17 difficulty getting their clients approved. MS. PATEL: If you could scroll down, Madam 18 19 Registrar. I'm looking for -- stop there. 20 Thank you very much.

```
21
                 I just want to note at 52(g), it says:
            Q
22
                      "The documents in S.B.'s mortgage file
23
                      which showed that H.K. had $810,000 in
24
                      savings at the time of the purchase of the
25
                      Barnet property were not accurate as he
```

what you

had approximately \$230,000 to \$250,000 in 1 2 savings from the sale of another property 3 at that time." 4 And, Mr. Chaudhary, was -- that alteration to 5 the documents showing the extents of H.K.'s savings, was that something -- was that an 6 7 alteration that you made? 8 А I don't recall the specifics but if -- it could 9 have been done by me. Yes, I can see that. But 10 I truly do not recall the details of the 11 application. 12 Okay. Do you have any reason to think that it Q would have been S.B. who made the alterations 13 and not you? 14 15 No. Most likely it probably would be myself. А 16 MS. PATEL: Mr. Commissioner, I would propose to mark 17 this as the next exhibit. 18 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, very well, that will be the 19 next exhibit. 20 THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 655 Mr. Commissioner. 21 EXHIBIT 655: Cease and Desist Order in the 22 matter of the Mortgage Brokers Act and Jay Kanth 23 Chaudhary - May 23, 2019 24 MS. PATEL: And, Madam Registrar, you can take this 25 document down.

1	Q	Mr. Chaudhary, just returning to your comment
2		about using a pseudonym to hide your activity
3		from competition. Did your competition include
4		other unregistered mortgage brokers?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	Were you aware in the period of time that you
7		were operating as an unregistered broker from
8		2009 to 2019 I think we've seen
9		February 2019 were you aware of other
10		unregistered brokers operating in the Lower
11		Mainland?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	Okay. And how many of such unregistered brokers
14		were you aware of?
15	A	Several.
16	Q	And how did you come to know of them?
17	A	Just through some of my clients that came to me.
18		Some of them had experiences with these other
19		mortgage brokers and used to tell me about their
20		experiences and said that how things that
21		didn't go right for them. And so most of the
22		time it was through clients, through borrowers
23		that had some kind of experience with this type
24		of mortgage brokers before.
25	Q	And to your knowledge are any of these

1		unregistered persons still offering services
2		as mortgage broker services?
3	A	It's been over a year or two now that I'm not
4		involved myself into this environment, so I
5		don't see anything, I don't hear anything kind
6		of. Yeah, so I'm not aware.
7	Q	The cease and desist order that we just looked
8		at, it sets out details of several mortgage
9		transactions in which the allegation is that
10		you, first of all, offered unregistered mortgage
11		broker activities and you altered documents in
12		support of mortgage loan applications. Did you
13		contest the cease and desist order?
14	A	No, I did not contest it.
15	Q	Do you agree that the allegations that are set
16		out in the order are for the most part true?
17	A	Yes, I do agree.
18	Q	Last question about your dealings with realtors.
19		Did you ever process an application for a loan
20		for a realtor themselves?
21	A	Yes, I did.
22	Q	Okay. How often do you think that you did that?
23	A	Not too often, but once in a while. I don't
24		remember how often, though. But yes, I did have
25		few.

-		
	Q	And for these realtors, were they aware that you
		were unregistered?
	A	Yes.
	Q	And how do you know that they were aware of
		that?
	A	Because I had been dealing with them in the
		past.
	Q	And similarly do you believe that they were
		aware that well, for these realtors did you
		make alterations to financial documents to
		qualify them for loans?
	A	Yes, I did.
	Q	Okay. And were they aware do you know if
		they were aware, do you believe that they were
		aware that you were making these alterations?
	А	Some of them might have been aware, but there
		might be a few that they were not aware.
	Q	But you believe that were aware?
	A	Yes. Yes.
	Q	Okay. Why do you believe that?
	A	Because I had I spoke to them and they knew
		the nature of what I was doing and I spoke to
		them that this is what I would be doing and to
		qualify you.
	Q	I'm going to move on now to another topic. I
		A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A

1 want to ask you --MS. PATEL: Actually, Madam Registrar -- I'm sorry, 2 3 before I do that, can we please pull up the last 4 exhibit, which was -- and again not on the 5 livestream, please -- RMB0021. And I'm sorry, I've lost track of the number. 6 THE REGISTRAR: That's exhibit 654 -- sorry, 655. 7 8 MS. PATEL: Thank you, I wanted to go to one part of 9 this order. Paragraph -- I'm sorry, Madam 10 Registrar, I only have the paragraph number. Paragraph 63. Maybe page 11. Oh, there we are, 11 12 lucky quess. So I just -- I wanted to review this part of the 13 Q 14 order with you, Mr. Chaudhary. At paragraph 63 15 under the heading "General" it says: 16 "Staff reviewed S.B.'s mortgage files --" 17 Again S.B. was a mortgage broker that you -- who 18 fronted for you; correct? 19 That is correct. А 20 "-- between 2015 and 2018 and determined Q 21 that Mr. Chaudhary's referrals to S.B. 22 resulted in 165 mortgages funded resulting 23 in compensation to Mr. Chaudhary as 24 follows." 25 And then there's a chart for the years 2015

1		through 2018 setting out the number of mortgages
2		that you referred to S.B. Well, according to
3		Mr. S.B.'s files that you referred to him. The
4		amount of commission that he paid to you in each
5		year and the total mortgage funding for each
6		year. You see that chart?
7	A	Yes, I do.
8	Q	Okay. And it says for 2015 the number of
9		mortgages was 35 and that he paid you a
10		commission of \$57,100. Do you see that?
11	А	Yes.
12	Q	And do you believe that that is correct?
13	A	I cannot specifically say that the numbers are
14		correct, if there's this many deals that I gave
15		him, but the arrangements were similar.
16	Q	Does that number for the commission that you
17		received in 2015, does that do you have any
18		reason to think that that sounds improbable or
19		incorrect?
20	A	It sounds inflated a little bit, but
21	Q	Okay.
22	A	I don't believe I did that many deals with him.
23	Q	You don't believe that you did 35 deals with
24		him?
25	A	Only on that specific one, yeah, could be.

1		Could be. But the 2016 and '17 seems to be a
2		little bit too high. But the foundation is
3		right where it's the number of deals, I
4		probably 2015 might be right I am not sure
5		but 2016, '17, though. That's what I'm saying.
6	MS.	PATEL: If we could scroll down to see
7		paragraph 66.
8	Q	And first of all paragraph 66 refers to a
9		Pacific Boulevard premises. That's a location
10		where you were conducting business. Is that
11		you were conducting business from. Is that
12		right, Mr. Chaudhary?
13	A	That's correct.
14	Q	Okay. It says:
15		"Staff reviewed an excel spread sheet
16		which was retrieved from an external
17		memory device found in the Pacific Blvd
18		premises. This spread sheet summarized
19		Mr. Chaudhary's unregistered mortgage
20		activity since 2009. There are references
21		to multiple transactions per year and
22		identified at least 20 submortgage brokers
23		and real licensees in addition to S.B. as
24		referral sources for the transactions."
25		And that accords with the evidence that you've

1		given us about the number of realtors and
2		mortgage brokers who were in your referral
3		circle; right?
4	A	Yeah. That could be correct, but not that
5		this spreadsheet is probably not a
6		hundred percent correct as well.
7	Q	Okay.
8	A	But I do remember having a spreadsheet with
9		numbers in it, where the the deals, whether
10		transpired in that spreadsheet or not is another
11		story. Where they were just forecast for
12		certain things. There were a number of things
13		going on that spreadsheet, so not all of them
14		were active deals. Not all of them were real
15		deals. So there can be a lot of discrepancy in
16		that spreadsheet as well.
17	Q	Okay. So you recall keeping the spreadsheet,
18		but you're not sure that its
19	A	Yes, I do.
20	Q	contents are accurate.
21	A	Yeah, that's right.
22	Q	Do you have any reason to believe that you would
23		have recorded transactions that didn't go
24		through?
25	А	No, I probably I don't recall because I don't

1 have access to this spreadsheet anymore, so I 2 cannot -- it's been almost over two years. And 3 I don't remember how I set it up, whether I did 4 have detailed information on the transactions 5 that I did -- did not go through in that spreadsheet as well, so I don't recall. 6 But do you have a recollection of recording 7 Q 8 transactions that didn't proceed? I believe I did not. 9 Α 10 At paragraph 67 it says: Q "According to that document --" 11 12 And again we're talking about the Excel 13 spreadsheet. 14 "-- from 2009 to mid 2018, Mr. Chaudhary 15 worked on 875 files, generated \$5,283,347 16 in client fees and \$642,344 in referral 17 fees paid by the registered submortgage 18 brokers who submitted the applications to 19 lenders on his behalf, and arranged 20 \$511,558,206 in mortgage loans." 21 Do you have any reason to think that those 22 numbers which were reported to be found in your 23 Excel spreadsheet are incorrect? 24 Yes. Α 25 And what are your reasons for thinking that Q

1

that's incorrect?

2 Yeah, the -- sometimes, you know, I put А 3 information in there that -- for example, if I 4 have a client and, let's say, I'm going to be charging him 1 percent fee of 5,000 or whatever 5 6 it is the number, and I would put it in there, 7 in my spreadsheet. And then what happens is 8 sometimes when the deal is done, maybe the 9 transactions do transpire but we decide to -- I 10 decide to give him a discount, let's say, you know, just charge him 2,500 or whatever, 11 12 whatever the circumstances. Those things would 13 not have been reflected in the spreadsheet. It 14 was more used as projected income but the actual 15 transaction was much lower. 16 MS. PATEL: Madam Registrar, we can take this down 17 now. Thank you. 18 So, Mr. Chaudhary, you would agree that you were Q 19 able to conduct your business undetected for 20 approximately ten years; correct? 21 Yes. А 22 And I'd like to turn now -- I'd like your views Q 23 on why you think that you were able to engage in

this activity undetected for so long.
A I believe that in most of the transactions I did

1 none of my clients or the borrowers ever got 2 hurt. None of -- there was no reason for 3 anyone -- all the participants are that were involved with me, whether it has been real 4 5 estate agents, referral sources, the mortgage 6 brokers, the financial institutions and because 7 the borrowers were meeting their monthly 8 obligations. So it seemed like the system, the 9 way I set it up, and for most part it worked 10 well, everyone was a winner. And at the end I also made a small fee. So that's why, I guess, 11 12 there was no complaints.

I believe the -- you know, I mean, everyone wins and no one gets hurt. I mean, there was no reason for anyone to complain against me. And so I think that is one of the biggest reason why I think it took this long for somebody to complain.

19 Q When you say that everybody wins, do you mean20 everybody got paid?

A Well, that's one way to look at it, yes. Everybody wins it means that whatever that small void in the system -- in the mortgage industry, there's a void. I mean, there's a need. It's such strict guidelines for people to qualify for

a mortgage. And so there's always going to be
 demand for individuals like us to come and fill
 that void.

4 Now, I personally when I was doing it, I 5 found it to be morally -- I was doing this for moral reasons. Yes, I did make a fee, but I was 6 7 doing it for a moral reason because I would say 8 okay, if this client who is able to make a 9 payment, can make a rent payment of \$3,000 a 10 month, now can't get a house -- a mortgage, 11 cannot get a mortgage payment for 2,000. And if 12 he goes and really wants to purchase a house, 13 then now he has to go through private lending 14 where there's no checks and balances on private 15 lenders. They just give you -- you put 16 20 percent down, they charge you 3, 4 percent 17 mortgage fee, you pay 8 percent interest rate. 18 So now the client's in a very vulnerable stage. 19 So -- and I have saved so many clients from 20 doing that very same thing.

21 So that when I -- and that's the reason why 22 I think the clients -- when most of the clients 23 that saw this, that they were in a very bad --24 way better shape of -- once they came to me and 25 they were all happy. So yes, the clients were

1 happy, the banks no default, they were making their interest. The clients were hardly -- I 2 3 don't think any of one of my clients of 4 defaulted. I don't remember. I didn't hear 5 any. So realtors make their commission. Mortgage brokerages make their commission. I 6 7 make my commission. All the parties involved, 8 the notaries, whole industry. So nobody's motivated to stop it? 9 0 10 That's right. А 11 Q And I don't plan to debate with you the morals 12 of any activities. I have a more direct 13 question of how do you think you could have been 14 prevented from engaging in this activity? Or 15 could you have? 16 I don't know. That's a heavy question. I mean, А 17 like, I don't know how to answer that question. 18 How could I have been prevented? I mean, I 19 quess you cannot stop this. I don't think -- I 20 think -- in reality I don't think so -- it can't be 21 prevented because there always will be a need. 22 There always will be a need for individuals like 23 us and what we did and because the demand itself 24 is there. The demand comes from the borrowers 25 themselves. The demand comes from people who

wants a house and does not fit in the 1 2 traditional guidelines. And so as long as the 3 demand is there, the referral sources are there, 4 the parties benefit, I believe it cannot be 5 stopped. Do you think that the penalties available 6 Q 7 against registered brokers who front are 8 sufficient to deter them from fronting for 9 unregistered people? 10 I do think penalties is stiff enough. I do А believe that. I do believe that the system 11 12 is -- most of what is already established in the 13 system, I believe 80, 90 percent of it is fine, 14 is great. It's just the desire to want to own a 15 property by the borrowers is much -- high. And 16 when they can't get one, they will try to find a 17 way to get one. So -- and as long as the 18 demand -- like I say, as long as the demand is 19 there, the people like us will always surface 20 from somewhere. 21 Do you think that registered mortgage brokers Q 22 are sufficiently concerned about the 23 consequences of being caught fronting? 24 Yes, I believe so. I mean, this is a good А

25 example. I mean, I'm here in front of public

1 talking. This is a deterrent. The news that 2 goes out, the cease and desist orders that are 3 published. I think these are all deterrent 4 factors. And some of these mortgage brokers 5 that take the risk upon themselves like I did in 6 2007, 2008 and then some of the colleagues that 7 were part and parcel of my network, they all 8 paid a price for it. And so the system hasn't 9 failed them. It just took a little bit long to 10 catch what was going on. What measures do you think could be in place to 11 Q 12 catch this kind of activity earlier? 13 I don't know. I don't think so if there's any А 14 measures. I believe the -- as long as the 15 parties are willing to participate, the 16 participants, the mortgage brokers and 17 individuals, unregistered mortgage brokers, I 18 don't think there's a way to stop this from 19 happening. The only other things that can be 20 implemented is, like, collaboration between CRA, 21 the banking system and the lending system all 22 getting together and coordinating, you know. 23 Then again, it's -- maybe it could be invasion 24 of people 's privacy as well. So I have no 25 clear answer for that.

1	Q	What about more oversight by brokerages over the
2		activities of their sub-brokers?
3	A	Yeah, there's, like, small minor things that
4		could improve. I think the given my
5		experience with my brokerages that I worked
6		with, there was definitely nothing. No one was
7		looking over my shoulder even when I was a
8		rookie. The first deal I did nobody looked over
9		my shoulder and say, this is how you do it; this
10		is how you don't do it. Nobody checked.
11		So yeah, that is a good starting point where
12		the brokerages should have more
13		responsibilities. Should be a ton of
14		responsibility on the beginning to ensure that
15		the submortgage brokers are doing their due
16		diligence, are you know, and they should take
17		extra steps. Probably call the clients, go
18		through the application. That might help.
19	Q	Do you think there's is there anything that
20		you think can be done on the realtor side to
21		address unregistered mortgage broker activity?
22	A	Yeah, I probably would not be able to
23		answer that question. Because Sorry, I
24		don't think so I can answer that question.
25	Q	Do you think that if the realtors who worked

Jay Chaudhary (for the commission) Exam by Ms. Patel Exam by Ms. Chewka

1 with you were under an obligation to report 2 unregistered mortgage broker activity, perhaps 3 under penalty of suspension or losing their 4 licence, do you think that they would have been 5 much less willing to work with you? Oh, yes, of course. Yes. If there is a 6 А 7 regulation such as that, then yes. A 8 hundred percent. 9 MS. PATEL: Mr. Commissioner, I think those are my 10 questions, but I'm just going to take a moment 11 to review my notes, if you don't mind. 12 Yes, Mr. Commissioner, those are my 13 questions. Thank you. 14 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Ms. Patel. 15 I'll now call on Ms. Chewka on behalf of the 16 province, who has been allocated 20 minutes. 17 MS. CHEWKA: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. EXAMINATION BY MR. CHEWKA: 18 19 Q Mr. Chaudhary, can you hear me okay this 20 morning? 21 А Yes. 2.2 Excellent. I just have a few questions for you Q 23 in light of the questions canvassed by my 24 friend, Ms. Patel. 25 This morning you gave evidence with respect

to the idea that you falsified documents to 1 2 support mortgage applications; is that correct? 3 That is correct. А 4 MS. CHEWKA: And, Madam Registrar, if I could please 5 have exhibit 653 on the screen. This is the suspension order that Ms. Patel took 6 Q 7 you to this morning. I don't intend to spend 8 much time with it, but will you agree with me that on October 16, 2008, the Acting Registrar 9 10 of Mortgage Brokers issued you this suspension 11 order because you breached the Mortgage Brokers 12 Act? Is that correct? That is correct. That is correct. 13 А 14 MS. CHEWKA: And, Madam Registrar, if you could 15 please scroll down slightly just to paragraphs 4 16 and 5. Thank you. 17 And you can see here that in this suspension Q 18 order it sets out when the complaints were 19 received. Would you agree with me that it says: 20 "On June 16, 2018 [sic], the office of the 21 Registrar of Mortgage Brokers ... received 22 a telephone complaint from an anonymous 23 individual alleging that her colleague, 24 [yourself], was involved in fraudulent 25 real estate and mortgage transactions."

Jay Chaudhary (for the commission) 110 Exam by Ms. Chewka 1 Would you agree about that? 2 Yes. Yeah. А THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry, just to clarify. I 3 4 think you said 2018. 5 MS. CHEWKA: I apologize. 2008. Thank you, 6 Mr. Commissioner. 7 THE COMMISSIONER: That's fine. Thank you. 8 MS. CHEWKA: 9 And, again, at paragraph 5 it states: 0 "On June 17, 2008, staff of the 10 Registrar ... received an email from 11 12 Pierre McConnell, Senior Investigator at 13 TD Canada Trust, advising that he had 14 received information from an unidentified 15 source who stated that Chaudhary was 16 committing fraud by paying bank employees 17 secret cash in exchange for deals." 18 Would you agree with that as well? 19 No, I don't. Α 20 You don't agree that that's what that Q 21 paragraph says? 22 No, I don't agree. А 23 Q I'm sorry --24 I was not paying bank employees. А 25 Oh, I see. Yes. No, I'm just asking whether or Q

1		not you'll agree that's what the
2		paragraph states, not the truth
3	A	Oh, yes. Sorry. My apologies.
4	Q	That's okay.
5	A	My apologies.
6	Q	That's okay. Thank you. And so as a result of
7		these complaints there was an investigation
8		done. But ultimately there was a suspension
9		order that was issued, and that was on
10		October 16th, 2008?
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	And you were suspended for 120 days; is that
13		right?
14	A	That is correct.
15	Q	And my understanding is that following that
16		suspension order you actually didn't renew your
17		registration; is that correct?
18	A	Yeah, I was waiting for FICOM at that time to
19		contact me to for the interview to go through
20		this consent order. But I made a couple of
21		calls, they never responded back and then after
22		that nothing happened and transpired. I never
23		re-applied and they never contacted me.
24	Q	You're currently not a registered mortgage
25		broker or submortgage broker; is that correct?

Exam Dy	MS. CI	IEWKa
1	A	I'm not.
2	Q	And you haven't been since October 2008?
3	A	That's correct.
4	Q	And you gave evidence in response to Ms. Patel's
5		questions that you continued to work as an
6		unregistered mortgage broker?
7	A	Yes.
8	MS.	CHEWKA: Madam Registrar, I'm actually done with
9		this exhibit. Could you please turn up
10		exhibit 655, but again not display this on the
11		livestream, please.
12	Q	This is the [indiscernible] took you to. This
13		is the cease and desist cease and desist
14		order. You're familiar with that?
15	A	Yes, I am.
16	Q	And essentially on May 23rd, 2019, the acting
17		registrar issued a further order against you and
18		that is reflected in this document.
19	MS.	CHEWKA: And, Madam Registrar, if you could
20		please scroll down to page 2 of this document.
21	Q	And this is the paragraph that Ms. Patel took
22		you to. And I won't read it again to you, but
23		essentially at paragraph 9 it sets out when the
24		complaints were received with respect to you by
25		the Office the Registrar of the Mortgage

1		Brokers, and that came in between July 2017 and
2		March 2018. You'll agree with that?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	And then Ms. Patel took you through several of
5		the paragraphs that follow that set out the
6		investigation process that was followed in these
7		circumstances, including a search of your
8		residences. Do you recall that?
9	A	Yes.
10	MS.	CHEWKA: And, Madam Registrar, can you please
11		scroll to page the bottom of page 4 of this
12		document.
13	Q	And so there at paragraph 20 you'll see the
14		order to enter Mr. Chaudhary's residences. In
15		the final line it indicates that those
16		authorizations to were to be executed on
17		February 12th, 2019. You'll agree with that?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	And ultimately following these investigations
20		the Acting Registrar issued the cease and desist
21		order on May 23rd, 2019; is that correct?
22	A	Correct.
23	Q	And that cease and desist order was issued on
24		the basis that the Acting Registrar found that
25		you were carrying on a business as a mortgage

1 broker or submortgage broker while not being 2 registered to do so. Do you agree with that? 3 А Correct. 4 MS. CHEWKA: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. I have no 5 further questions for this witness. THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Ms. Chewka. 6 7 I now ask Mr. Usher on behalf of the Society 8 Notaries Public of British Columbia, who's been allocated ten minutes. 9 10 MR. USHER: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. EXAMINATION BY MR. USHER: 11 12 Mr. Chaudhary, during the time after your Q 13 initial suspension as a mortgage broker in 2008 14 until the second order in May 2019, did you 15 offer to your borrower clients or your referral 16 sources any guarantees or assurances of 17 compensation for losses they might suffer in the 18 event you were not able to arrange a mortgage? 19 No, I did not. А 20 Okay. And just -- I'll give you one kind of Q 21 example. So, for example, say someone had made 22 a firm real estate deal and they put a deposit 23 on, and if the transaction was not able to close 24 they would lose that deposit. Did you offer any 25 kind of guarantee that you compensate them for

Jay Chaudhary (for the commission) 115 Exam by Mr. Usher the loss of that deposit? 1 2 No, I did not offer any guarantees. А 3 MR. USHER: Okay. That's all of my questions. Thank 4 you. 5 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr. Usher. 6 Ms. Chewka, anything arising out of that? 7 MS. CHEWKA: No, Mr. Commissioner. 8 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Ms. Patel? 9 MS. PATEL: Nothing arising, Mr. Commissioner. 10 Mr. Commissioner, I did note that Mr. Chaudhary was here with his counsel. I'm not sure if 11 12 anything would arise from him. THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. Mr. Whysall, do you have any 13 14 questions you want to ask? 15 MR. WHYSALL: No, thank you. I have no questions. 16 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you. Thank, 17 Mr. Chaudhary. You are excused from further 18 testimony. 19 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 20 THE COMMISSIONER: I take it we will adjourn until 21 tomorrow at 9:30, Ms. Patel? 22 MS. PATEL: Yes, Mr. Commissioner, that's right. 23 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. 24 THE REGISTRAR: The hearing is now adjourned to 25 February 25th, 2021, at 9:30 a.m. Thank you.

Jay Chaudhary (for the commission) Exam by Mr. Usher (WITNESS EXCUSED) (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 12:43 P.M. TO FEBRUARY 25, 2021)